arXiv:1405.3846v2 [math.AP] 16 Jun 2014

ON CONCAVITY OF SOLUTION OF DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR THE EQUATION $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi = 1$ IN A CONVEX PLANAR REGION

TADEUSZ KULCZYCKI

Abstract. For a sufficiently regular open bounded set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let us consider the equation $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x) = 1$, $x \in D$ with the Dirichlet exterior condition $\varphi(x) = 0$, $x \in D^c$. φ is the expected value of the first exit time from D of the Cauchy process in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We prove that if $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a convex bounded domain then φ is concave on D. To show it we study the Hessian matrix of the harmonic extension of φ. The key idea of the proof is based on a deep result of Hans Lewy concerning determinants of Hessian matrices of harmonic functions.

1. Introduction

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open bounded set which satisfies a uniform exterior cone condition on ∂D and let us consider the following Dirichlet problem for the square root of the Laplacian

$$(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x) = 1, \qquad x \in D, \tag{1}$$

$$\varphi(x) = 0, \qquad x \in D^c, \tag{2}$$

where we understand that φ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^2$. $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is given by $(-\Delta)^{1/2} f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{|y-x|^3}\, dy$, whenever the limit exists.

It is well known that (1-2) has a unique solution. It has a natural probabilistic interpretation. Let X_t be the Cauchy process in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (that is a symmetric α-stable process in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\alpha = 1$) with a transition density $p_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} t(t^2+|x|^2)^{-3/2}$ and let $\tau_D = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin D\}$ be the first exit time of X_t from D. Then $\varphi(x) = E^x(\tau_D)$ [18], $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where E^x is the expected value of the process X_t starting from x. The function $E^x(\tau_D)$ plays an important role in the potential theory of symmetric stable processes (see e.g. [5], [4], [11]).

About 10 years ago R. Bañuelos posed a problem of p-concavity of $E^x(\tau_D)$ for symmetric α-stable processes. The problem was inspired by a beautiful result of Ch. Borell about 1/2-concavity of $E^x(\tau_D)$ for the Brownian motion.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem. It solves the problem posed by R. Bañuelos for the Cauchy process in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded convex domain then the solution of (1-2) is concave on D.*

To the best of author's knowledge this is the first result concerning concavity of solutions of equations for fractional Laplacians on general convex domains. There is a recent interesting paper of R. Bañuelos and R. D. DeBlassie [1] in which the first eigenfunction of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for fractional Laplacians on Lipschitz domains is studied but in that paper superharmonicity and not concavity of the first eigenfunction is proved (similar results were also obtained by M. Kaßmann and L. Silvestre [22]). In [3] concavity of the first eigenfunction for fractional Laplacians was studied but [3] concerns boxes and not general convex domains.

Now let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$ be an open bounded set which satisfies a uniform exterior cone condition on ∂D, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and let us consider a more general Dirichlet problem for the

The research was supported in part by NCN grant no. 2011/03/B/ST1/00423.

fractional Laplacian

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\varphi(x) = 1, \qquad x \in D, \tag{3}$$
$$\varphi(x) = 0, \qquad x \in D^c, \tag{4}$$

where we understand that φ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $\alpha \in (0,2)$ is given by $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}_{d,-\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\, dy$, whenever the limit exists, $\mathcal{A}_{d,-\alpha} = 2^\alpha \Gamma((d+\alpha)/2)/(\pi^{d/2}|\Gamma(-\alpha/2)|)$. For $\alpha = 2$ the operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is simply $-\Delta$.

It is well known that (3-4) has a unique solution. It is the expected value of the first exit time from D of the symmetric α-stable process in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 1.2. For $\alpha = 2$ i.e. for the Laplacian, it is well known that if $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded convex domain then the solution of (3-4) is 1/2-concave, that is $\sqrt{\varphi}$ is concave. This was proved for $d = 2$ in 1969 by L. Makar-Limanov [32]. For $d \geq 3$ it was proved in 1983 by Ch. Borell [8] and independently by A. Kennington [23], [24] using ideas of N. Korevaar [25].

Remark 1.3. Let $\alpha \in (0,2]$ and φ be a solution of (3-4) for $D = B(0,r) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$ a ball with centre 0 and radius $r > 0$. Then φ is given by an explicit formula [18] (see also [21], [17]) $\varphi(x) = C_B(r^2 - |x|^2)^{\alpha/2}$, $x \in B(0,r)$, where $C_B = \Gamma(d/2)(2^\alpha \Gamma(1+\alpha/2)\Gamma(d/2+\alpha/2))^{-1}$. In particular φ is concave on $B(0,r)$.

Remark 1.4. For any $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and $d \geq 2$ there exists a bounded convex domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (a sufficiently narrow bounded cone) such that φ is not concave on D. The justification of this statement is in Section 7. In particular, this implies that the assertion of Theorem 1.1 is not true for the problem (3-4) for $\alpha \in (1,2)$.

For general $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $d \geq 2$ we have the following regularity result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $d \geq 2$ and let φ be a solution of (3-4). If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded convex domain then we have*

a) for any $x_0 \in \partial D$, $x \in D$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x_0) \geq \lambda^\alpha \varphi(x),$$

b) for any $x, y \in D$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^\alpha \varphi(x) + (1-\lambda)^\alpha \varphi(y)\right).$$

The proof of this theorem is in Section 7. It is based on one tricky observation and is much easier than the proof of Theorem 1.1. Clearly, Theorem 1.5 does not imply p-concavity of φ for any $p \in [-\infty, 1]$. Some conjectures concerning p-concavity of solutions of (3-4) are presented in Section 7.

Below we present the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is in the spirit of papers by L. Caffarelli, A. Friedman [9] and N. Korevaar, J. Lewis [26] in which they study geometric properties of solutions of some PDEs using the constant rank theorem and the method of continuity. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 the role of the constant rank theorem plays the following result of Hans Lewy from 1968.

Theorem 1.6 (Hans Lewy, [31])**.** *Let $u(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be real and harmonic in a domain Ω of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Suppose the Hessian $H(u)$ i.e. the determinant of the matrix of second derivatives $((\partial^2 u/\partial x_i \partial x_j))$ vanishes at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ without vanishing identically in Ω. Then $H(u)$ assumes both positive and negative values near x_0.*

The use of this result is the key element of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that it is known the generalization of Theorem 1.6 to higher dimensions. This generalization is a remarkable achievement obtained by S. Gleason and T. Wolff in 1991 (see Theorem 1 in

[20]). It gives some hope that it is possible to extend Theorem 1.1 to higher dimensions, see Conjecture 7.1 in Section 7.

Let us come back to presenting the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show this result for a sufficiently smooth bounded convex domain $D \subset B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, which boundary has a strictly positive curvature. Let us consider the harmonic extension u of φ. Namely, let

$$K(x) = C_K \frac{x_3}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{3/2}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+, \tag{5}$$

where $C_K = 1/(2\pi)$, $\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > 0\}$. Put $u(x_1, x_2, 0) = \varphi(x_1, x_2)$, $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_D K(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2, \qquad (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+. \tag{6}$$

Note that $K(x_1-y_1, x_2-y_2, x_3)$ is the Poisson kernel of $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ for points $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ and $(y_1, y_2, 0) \in \partial\mathbb{R}^3_+$. By f_i we denote $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$, by f_{ij} we denote $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$. It is well known that $u_3(x_1, x_2, 0) = -(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x_1, x_2)$, $(x_1, x_2) \in D$ so u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u(x) &= 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+, & (7)\\ u_3(x) &= -1, \qquad x \in D \times \{0\}, & (8)\\ u(x) &= 0, \qquad x \in D^c \times \{0\}, & (9) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta u = u_{11} + u_{22} + u_{33}$.

The idea of studying equations for fractional Laplacians via harmonic extensions is well known. It was used for the first time by F. Spitzer in [35]. Harmonic extensions were used by many authors e.g. by S. A. Molchanov, E. Ostrovskii [34], R. D. DeBlassie [14], P. Mendez-Hernandez [33], R. Bañuelos, T. Kulczycki [2], A. El Hajj, H. Ibrahim, R. Monneau [16], L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre [10].

In the next step of the proof we extend u to $\mathbb{R}^3_- = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 < 0\}$ by putting

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = u(x_1, x_2, -x_3) - 2x_3, \qquad (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_-. \tag{10}$$

Note that u is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and for $(x_1, x_2) \in D$ it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u_{3^-}(x_1, x_2, 0) &= \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{u(x_1, x_2, h) - u(x_1, x_2, 0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{u(x_1, x_2, -h) - 2h - u(x_1, x_2, 0)}{h} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

By standard arguments it follows that u is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^3_+ \cup \mathbb{R}^3_- \cup (D \times \{0\}) = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$.

Since we need to consider different domains D we change our notation φ, u to $\varphi^{(D)}$, $u^{(D)}$. Let $H(u^{(D)})$ be the determinant of the Hessian matrix of $u^{(D)}$. Our next aim is to show that $H(u^{(D)})(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$. Note that (see Lemma 2.5) $H(u^{(D)})(x_1, x_2, -x_3) = H(u^{(D)})(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ so it is sufficient to control $H(u^{(D)})(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ \cup (D \times \{0\})$. Now for technical reasons we need to add an auxiliary function to $u^{(D)}$. Namely, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we consider $v^{(\varepsilon,D)}(x) = u^{(D)}(x) + \varepsilon(-x_1^2/2 - x_2^2/2 + x_3^2)$. This is done to control $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D)})(x)$ near $(\mathrm{int}D^c) \times \{0\}$ because $H(u^{(D)})(x) \to 0$ when x approaches $(\mathrm{int}D^c) \times \{0\}$. Note that $v^{(\varepsilon,D)}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$. Note also that (see Lemma 6.1) $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D)})(x_1, x_2, -x_3) = H(v^{(\varepsilon,D)})(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ so it is sufficient to control $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D)})(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ \cup (D \times \{0\})$.

Now, on the contrary, assume that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$ such that we have $H(u^{(D)})(x_0) \leq 0$. One can show that $H(u^{(D)})(x)$ is not identically zero in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$. If $H(u^{(D)})(x_0) = 0$ and $H(u^{(D)})(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$ then we get contradiction

with Theorem 1.6. So, we may assume that $H(u^{(D)})(x_0) < 0$. Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D)})(x_0) < 0$. Recall that $D \subset B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For $M \geq 10$ we consider the set $W(M,D) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M, x_3 \in [-M,M]\} \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$ (it is a large cylinder without $D^c \times \{0\}$). One can take large enough M so that $x_0 \in W(M,D)$.

In the next step of the proof using direct formula of $\varphi^{(B(0,1))}$ and also using some "tricks" we show that $H(u^{(B(0,1))})(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (B^c(0,1) \times \{0\})$. This is done in Section 5. Later we show that for sufficiently large M and small ε we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon,B(0,1))})(x) > 0$ for $x \in W(M, B(0,1))$.

Then we use method of continuity (cf. [26, page 20], [9]). Namely, we deform D to the ball $B(0,1)$. More precisely we consider the family of domains $\{D(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ such that $D(0) = D$, $D(1) = B(0,1)$, all $D(t)$ are smooth bounded convex domains which boundaries have strictly positive curvature and $\partial D(t) \to \partial D(s)$ when $t \to s$ in the appropriate sense. One can fix (in the appropriate way) sufficiently large $M \geq 10$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ so that for all domains $\{D(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ we can control $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(t))})(x)$ for x near the boundary of "cylinders" $W(M,D(t))$. For $x \in \partial W(M,D(t))$ such that $|x_3| = M$ or $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = M$ and $x_3 > 0$ (x_3 not too small) we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(t))})(x) \approx H(u^{(D(t))})(x) \approx H(K)(x) > 0$. The last inequality follows by a direct computation. Showing that $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(t))})(x) \approx H(u^{(D(t))})(x) > 0$ near $\partial D \times \{0\}$ is the most technical part of the proof and this is done in Sections 3, 4 and in the proof of Proposition 6.2. The fact that $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(t))})(x) > 0$ for x near $D^c \times \{0\}$ (when x is not too close to $\partial D \times \{0\}$) is rather easy and here is the place where the auxiliary function $\varepsilon(-x_1^2/2 - x_2^2/2 + x_3^2)$ helps.

In fact, one can show that there exists $c > 0$ such that $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(t))})(x) \geq c > 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all x near $\partial W(M,D(t))$.

Recall that $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(0))})(x_0) < 0$ for some $x_0 \in W(M,D(0))$ and $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(1))})(x) > 0$ for all $x \in W(M,D(1))$. Using the method of continuity one can show that there exists $\tilde{t} \in (0,1)$, $\tilde{D} = D(\tilde{t})$ and $\tilde{x} \in W(M,\tilde{D})$ such that $H(v^{(\varepsilon,\tilde{D})})(\tilde{x}) = 0$ and $H(v^{(\varepsilon,\tilde{D})})(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in W(M,\tilde{D})$. Moreover one can show that $H(v^{(\varepsilon,\tilde{D})})(x) > 0$ for x near $\partial W(M,\tilde{D})$ so $x \in \operatorname{int}(W(M,\tilde{D}))$. This gives contradiction with Theorem 1.6. So we finally obtain

$$H(u^{(D)})(x) > 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\}). \tag{11}$$

A closer look gives that in fact the Hessian matrix of u has a constant signature $(1,2)$. It seems that this observation could help in studying the analogous problem in higher dimensions (cf. Conjecture 7.1 in Section 7 and Theorem 1 in [20]).

Let $(x_1,x_2) \in D$. By (8) we get $u_{13}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) = 0$, $u_{23}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) = 0$, $u_{33}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) > 0$ (see Lemma 4.2). Using this and (11) we obtain

$$u_{11}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0)u_{22}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) - (u_{12}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0))^2 > 0. \tag{12}$$

We also have $u_{11}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) + u_{22}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) = -u_{33}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) < 0$. This and (12) implies $u_{11}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) < 0$, $u_{22}^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0) < 0$.

This gives that $\varphi^{(D)}(x_1,x_2) = u^{(D)}(x_1,x_2,0)$ is strictly concave on D. Recall that we have assumed that D is a sufficiently smooth bounded convex domain, $D \subset B(0,1)$ and ∂D has a strictly positive curvature. The concavity of $\varphi^{(D)}$ for arbitrary convex domains D follows by approximation arguments and scaling.

Of course, this is only the sketch of the proof. In fact one has to be very carefull with the method of continuity. In particular one has to control $H(v^{(\varepsilon,D(t))})(x)$ for x near $\partial W(M,D(t))$ in a "uniform way" according to $t \in [0,1]$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present notation and collect some known facts needed in the rest of the paper. In Section 3 we estimate $\varphi_{ij}^{(D)}$ near ∂D. Section 4 contains estimates of $u_{ij}^{(D)}$ near $\partial D \times \{0\}$. In Section 5 the harmonic extension

for a ball is studied. Section 6 contains the proof of the main theorem. In Section 7 some extensions and conjectures are presented.

2. Preliminaries

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$ we let $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y-x| < r\}$. By $a \wedge b$ we denote $\min(a,b)$ and by $a \vee b$ we denote $\max(a,b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we put $\delta_D(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D)$. For any $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ we denote $\psi_i(x) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x)$, $\psi_{ij}(x) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. We put $\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > 0\}$, $\mathbb{R}^3_- = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 < 0\}$. The definition of a uniform exterior cone condition may be found e.g. in [19, page 195].

Let us define a subclass of bounded, convex $C^{2,1}$ domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with strictly positive curvature, which will be suitable for our purposes.

Definition 2.1. Let $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ and let us fix a Cartesian coordinate system CS in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We say that a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ belongs to the class $F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ when

1. D is convex. In CS coordinates we have

$$\{(y_1, y_2) : y_1^2 + y_2^2 < R_1^2\} \subset D \subset \{(y_1, y_2) : y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1\}.$$

2. For any $x \in \partial D$ there exist a Cartesian coordinate system CS_x with origin at x obtained by translation and rotation of CS, there exist $R > 0$, $f : [-R, R] \to [0, \infty)$ (R, f depend on x), such that $f \in C^{2,1}[-R, R]$, $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 0$ and in CS_x coordinates

$$\{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in [-R, R], y_1 \in (f(y_2), R]\} = D \cap \{(y_1, y_2) : y_1 \in [-R, R], y_2 \in [-R, R]\}.$$

3. For any $y \in \partial D$ we have

$$\kappa_1 \leq \kappa(y) \leq \kappa_2,$$

where $\kappa(y)$ denotes the curvature of ∂D at y.

4. For any $y, z \in \partial D$ we have

$$|\kappa(y) - \kappa(z)| \leq C_1 |y - z|.$$

For brevity, we will often use notation $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ and write $D \in F(\Lambda)$.

Let $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ and put $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$. Let $D \in F(\Lambda)$. For any $y \in \partial D$ by $\vec{n}(y)$ we denote the normal inner unit vector at y and by $\vec{T}(y)$ we denote the tangent unit vector at y which agrees with negative (clockwise) orientation of ∂D. We put $e_1 = (1, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1)$.

It may be easily shown that there exists $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}(\Lambda)$ such that for any $y \in D$, $\delta_D(y) \leq \tilde{R}$ there exists a unique $y^* \in \partial D$ such that $|y - y^*| = \delta_D(y)$. For any $y \in D$ such that $\delta_D(y) \leq \tilde{R}$ we define $\vec{n}(y) = \vec{n}(y^*)$, $\vec{T}(y) = \vec{T}(y^*)$. For any $\psi \in C^2(D)$, $y \in D$, $v_1(y), v_2(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{v}(y) = v_1(y)e_1 + v_2(y)e_2$ we put $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \vec{v}}(y) = v_1(y)\psi_1(y) + v_2(y)\psi_2(y)$, (recall that $\psi_i(y) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(y)$). Similarly, for any $w_1(y), w_2(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{w}(y) = w_1(y)e_1 + w_2(y)e_2$ we put $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \vec{v} \partial \vec{w}}(y) = v_1(y)w_1(y)\psi_{11}(y) + v_2(y)w_2(y)\psi_{22}(y) + (v_1(y)w_2(y) + v_2(y)w_1(y))\psi_{12}(y)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ put $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ and let us fix a Cartesian coordinate system CS in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Fix $D \in F(\Lambda)$ and $x_0 \in \partial D$. Choose a new Cartesian coordinate system CS_{x_0} with origin at x_0 obtained by translation and rotation of CS such that the positive coordinate halflines y_1, y_2 are in the directions $\vec{n}(x_0)$, $\vec{T}(x_0)$ respectively.*

From now on all points and vectors are in this new coordinate system CS_{x_0}, in particular $\vec{n}(0,0) = (1,0) = e_1$, $\vec{T}(0,0) = (0,1) = e_2$. For any $y \in \partial D$ define $\alpha(y) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ such that $\vec{T}(y) = \sin\alpha(y)e_1 + \cos\alpha(y)e_2$ (this is an angle between e_2 and $\vec{T}(y)$).



FIGURE 1.

There exists $r_0 = r_0(\Lambda) \leq \tilde{R} \wedge (1/2)$, $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda)$, $c_2 = c_2(\Lambda)$, $c_3 = c_3(\Lambda)$, $c_4 = c_4(\Lambda)$, $c_5 = c_5(\Lambda)$, $c_6 = c_6(\Lambda)$, $f : [-r_0, r_0] \to [0, \infty)$ *such that* $f \in C^{2,1}[-r_0, r_0]$, $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 0$, $c_4 r_0 \leq 1/4$ *and for any fixed* $r \in (0, r_0]$ *we have (see Figure 1)*

1. $\{(y_1, y_2) : (y_1 - r)^2 + y_2^2 < r^2\} \subset D$,

$$W := \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in [-r, r], y_1 \in (f(y_2), r]\} = D \cap \{(y_1, y_2) : y_1 \in [-r, r], y_2 \in [-r, r]\}.$$

2. For any $y \in W$ *we have* $\alpha(y) \in [-\pi/4, \pi/4]$ *and*

$$c_1|y_2| \leq |\sin \alpha(y)| \leq c_2|y_2|.$$

3. For any $y_2 \in [-r, r]$ *we have*

$$c_3 y_2^2 \leq f(y_2) \leq c_4 y_2^2.$$

4. For any $y \in W$ *we have* $e_1 = \cos\alpha(y)\vec{n}(y) + \sin\alpha(y)\vec{T}(y)$, $e_2 = -\sin\alpha(y)\vec{n}(y) + \cos\alpha(y)\vec{T}(y)$. *For any* $\psi \in C^2(D)$ *and* $y \in W$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(y) &= \cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y) + \sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y),\\
\psi_2(y) &= -\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y) + \cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{11}(y) &= \cos^2\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{n}^2}(y) + \sin^2\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{T}^2}(y) + 2\sin\alpha(y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{n}\partial\vec{T}}(y),\\
\psi_{22}(y) &= \cos^2\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{T}^2}(y) + \sin^2\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{n}^2}(y) - 2\sin\alpha(y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{n}\partial\vec{T}}(y),\\
\psi_{12}(y) &= (\cos^2\alpha(y) - \sin^2\alpha(y))\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{n}\partial\vec{T}}(y) - \sin\alpha(y)\cos\alpha(y)\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{n}^2}(y) - \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\vec{T}^2}(y)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

5. For any $y \in \{(y_1, y_2) \in W : y_2 > 0\}$ *we have*

$$c_5(f^{-1}(y_1) - y_2)f^{-1}(y_1) \leq \delta_D(y) \leq c_6(f^{-1}(y_1) - y_2)f^{-1}(y_1),$$

where $f^{-1} : [0, f(r)] \to [0, r]$.

This lemma follows by elementary geometry and its proof is omitted.

In the sequel we will use the method of continuity (cf. [26, page 20], [9]). Roughly speaking, we will deform a convex bounded domain D to a ball $B(0, 1)$. To do this we

will consider the following construction. Let $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$. For any $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ and $t \in [0,1]$ we define

$$D(t) = (1-t)D + tB(0,1). \tag{13}$$

Lemma 2.3. *For any $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ there exists $C_1' > 0$, $R_1' > 0$, $\kappa_2' \geq \kappa_1' > 0$ such that for any $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ and any $t \in [0,1]$ we have $D(t) \in F(C_1', R_1', \kappa_1', \kappa_2')$.*

Proof. This lemma seems to be standard, similar results are well known (cf. [9, proof of Theorem 3.1]). Notation and most of the arguments are taken from Appendix in D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger's book [19], pages 381-384.

Clearly, $D(t)$ is a convex domain satisfying $B(0,R_1) \subset D(t) \subset B(0,1)$. Fix $t \in [0,1]$. Put $E(t) = (1-t)D$, we have $D(t) = E(t) \cup \{x \in E(t)^c : \delta_{E(t)}(x) < t\}$. In particular

$$\partial D(t) = \{x \in E(t)^c : \delta_{E(t)}(x) = t\}. \tag{14}$$

By scaling for any $x \in \partial E(t)$ we have

$$\frac{\kappa_1}{1-t} \leq \kappa_{E(t)}(x) \leq \frac{\kappa_2}{1-t}, \tag{15}$$

where $\kappa_{E(t)}(x) > 0$ denotes the curvature of $\partial E(t)$ at x (see the definition on page 381 in [19]).

We will now use [19, Appendix] (mainly we will use arguments used in the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2 and not necessarily assertions of these lemmas). We will use arguments for the set $E(t)^c$. Fix $x_0 \in E(t)^c$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial E(t)) = t$. Let $y_0 \in \partial E(t)$ be a point such that $|x_0 - y_0| = t$. By the arguments in Lemmas 1, 2 [19, Appendix] $\delta_{E(t)}(x)$ is a C^2 function on $\operatorname{int}(E(t)^c)$. Choose Cartesian coordinate system (x_1, x_2) such that x_2-axis lies in the direction $x_0 - y_0$ and the origin is x_0 (i.e. x_0 has coordinates $(0,0)$). This coordinate system is obtained by translation and rotation of the original coordinate system.

By arguments as in Lemma 2 [19, Appendix] $\nabla \delta_{E(t)}(x_0) = (0,1)$, $D_{12}\delta_{E(t)}(x_0) = 0$, $D_{22}\delta_{E(t)}(x_0) = 0$, $D_{11}\delta_{E(t)}(x_0) = \frac{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)}{1+\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)\delta_{E(t)}(x_0)}$ (in the assertion of Lemma 2 there are minuses in front of curvatures, here we do not have minuses because we consider $E(t)^c$ and the curvature $\kappa_{E(t)}$ was chosen to be positive).

Put $F(x_1, x_2) = \delta_{E(t)}(x_1, x_2) - t$. We have $F_1(0,0) = 0$, $F_2(0,0) = 1$, $F_{11}(0,0) = \frac{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)}{1+\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)\delta_{E(t)}(x_0)}$, $F_{12}(0,0) = 0$, $F_{22}(0,0) = 0$. By the implicit function theorem there exists a C^2 function $\psi : (-\eta, \eta) \to \mathbb{R}$, $\eta > 0$ such that $F(x_1, \psi(x_1)) = 0$. Hence by (14) $\partial D(t)$ is locally C^2.

We have $\psi' = -F_1/F_2$, $\psi'' = (2F_1F_2F_{12} - (F_2)^2F_{11} - (F_1)^2F_{22})(F_2)^{-3}$, so $\psi'(0) = 0$,

$$\psi''(0) = -F_{11}(0) = \frac{-\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)}{1+\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)\delta_{E(t)}(x_0)} = \frac{-\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)}{1+\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)t}.$$

Hence the curvature of $\partial D(t)$ at x_0 satisfies

$$\kappa_{D(t)}(x_0) = \frac{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)}{1+\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)t} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)} + t}.$$

By (15)

$$\frac{1-t}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)} \leq \frac{1-t}{\kappa_1},$$

so

$$\kappa_1 \wedge 1 \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1-t}{\kappa_1} + t} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)} + t} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1-t}{\kappa_2} + t} \leq \kappa_2 \vee 1.$$

Hence the curvature of $\partial D(t)$ at x_0 is between $\kappa_1 \wedge 1$ and $\kappa_2 \vee 1$.

Now we will show that the curvature $\kappa_{D(t)}(x)$ is Lipschitz. For any $x \in \mathrm{int}(E(t)^c)$ there exists a unique point $y = y(x) \in \partial E(t)$ such that $|x - y| = \delta_{E(t)}(x)$. By [19, Appendix] the function $y(x)$ is C^1 on $\mathrm{int}(E(t)^c)$. Let $\nu(y)$ be the unit inner normal vector of $E(t)^c$ at y. We have $x = y(x) + \nu(y(x))\delta_{E(t)}(x)$.

Let $z_0 \in \mathrm{int}(E(t)^c)$, let $y_0 = y(z_0)$ i.e. y_0 is a unique point such that $y_0 \in \partial E(t)$ and $|y_0 - z_0| = \delta_{E(t)}(z_0)$. We use a Cartesian coordinate system (x_1, x_2) as above with the origin z_0 and such that x_2-axis lies in the direction $z_0 - y_0$ (this coordinate system is obtained by translation and rotation of the original coordinate system).

Using the same notation as in [19, Appendix] note that for $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \partial E(t)$ near y_0 we have $\nu(y_1, y_2) = \overline{\nu}(y_1)$. Let us denote $\overline{\nu}(y) = (\overline{\nu}_1(y), \overline{\nu}_2(y))$. We have $(y_1(z_0), y_2(z_0)) = y(z_0) = y_0 = (y_{01}, y_{02}) = (0, y_{02})$, $\overline{\nu}_1(y_{01}) = 0$, $\overline{\nu}_2(y_{01}) = 1$.

For $x \in \mathrm{int}(E(t)^c)$ near z_0 we have

$$x = y(x) + \overline{\nu}(y_1(x))\delta_{E(t)}(x).$$

In particular

$$x_1 = y_1(x) + \overline{\nu}_1(y_1(x))\delta_{E(t)}(x), \tag{16}$$

$$x_2 = y_2(x) + \overline{\nu}_2(y_1(x))\delta_{E(t)}(x) \tag{17}$$

By computing D_1 derivative of (16) we get

$$1 = D_1 y_1(x) + D\overline{\nu}_1(y_1(x))D_1 y_1(x)\delta_{E(t)}(x) + \overline{\nu}_1(y_1(x))D_1\delta_{E(t)}(x).$$

Putting $x = z_0$ (recall that $y(z_0) = y_0$) we obtain

$$1 = D_1 y_1(z_0) + D\overline{\nu}_1(y_{01})D_1 y_1(z_0)\delta_{E(t)}(z_0) + \overline{\nu}_1(y_{01})D_1\delta_{E(t)}(z_0).$$

By [19, (A6), page 382] we get $D\overline{\nu}_1(y_{01}) = \kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)$. We also have $\overline{\nu}_1(y_{01}) = 0$. Hence

$$D_1 y_1(z_0) = (1 + \kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)\delta_{E(t)}(z_0))^{-1}.$$

By computing D_2 derivative of (16) we get

$$0 = D_2 y_1(x) + D\overline{\nu}_1(y_1(x))D_2 y_1(x)\delta_{E(t)}(x) + \overline{\nu}_1(y_1(x))D_2\delta_{E(t)}(x).$$

Putting $x = z_0$ we obtain

$$0 = D_2 y_1(z_0) + D\overline{\nu}_1(y_{01})D_2 y_1(z_0)\delta_{E(t)}(z_0) + \overline{\nu}_1(y_{01})D_2\delta_{E(t)}(z_0).$$

Hence $D_2 y_1(z_0) = 0$.

By computing D_1 derivative of (17) we get

$$0 = D_1 y_2(x) + D\overline{\nu}_2(y_1(x))D_1 y_1(x)\delta_{E(t)}(x) + \overline{\nu}_2(y_1(x))D_1\delta_{E(t)}(x).$$

Putting $x = z_0$ we obtain

$$0 = D_1 y_2(z_0) + D\overline{\nu}_2(y_{01})D_1 y_1(z_0)\delta_{E(t)}(z_0) + \overline{\nu}_2(y_{01})D_1\delta_{E(t)}(z_0).$$

By [19, line 6, page 383] we have $D_1\delta_{E(t)}(z_0) = 0$. From the formula for $\overline{\nu}_2(y_1) = \nu_2(y)$ in [19, (A5), page 382] it follows that $D\overline{\nu}_2(y_{01}) = 0$. Hence $D_1 y_2(z_0) = 0$. By computing D_2 derivative of (17) we get

$$1 = D_2 y_2(x) + D\overline{\nu}_2(y_1(x))D_2 y_1(x)\delta_{E(t)}(x) + \overline{\nu}_2(y_1(x))D_2\delta_{E(t)}(x).$$

Putting $x = z_0$ we obtain

$$1 = D_2 y_2(z_0) + D\overline{\nu}_2(y_{01})D_2 y_1(z_0)\delta_{E(t)}(z_0) + \overline{\nu}_2(y_{01})D_2\delta_{E(t)}(z_0).$$

We have $\overline{\nu}_2(y_{01}) = 1$. By [19, line 6, page 383] we have $D_2\delta_{E(t)}(z_0) = 1$. Hence $D_2 y_2(z_0) = 0$.

Finally we get

$$|D_1 y_1(z_0)| \leq 1, \qquad D_2 y_1(z_0) = 0, \qquad |\nabla y_2(z_0)| = 0. \tag{18}$$

Let $x_0 \in E(t)^c$ be such that $\operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial E(t)) = t$ i.e. $x_0 \in \partial D(t)$. Choose $z_0 = x_0$, we have $y(z_0) = y(x_0) = y_0$. For any $x \in \partial D(t)$ which are sufficiently close to x_0 we get

$$|\kappa_{D(t)}(x_0) - \kappa_{D(t)}(x)| = \left| \frac{\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)}{1 + t\kappa_{E(t)}(y_0)} - \frac{\kappa_{E(t)}(y(x))}{1 + t\kappa_{E(t)}(y(x))} \right|.$$

Using $\kappa_{E(t)}(y(x)) = \frac{1}{1-t}\kappa_D\left(\frac{y(x)}{1-t}\right)$ this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-t)\left|\kappa_D\left(\frac{y_0}{1-t}\right) - \kappa_D\left(\frac{y(x)}{1-t}\right)\right|}{\left|1 - t + t\kappa_D\left(\frac{y_0}{1-t}\right)\right|\left|1 - t + t\kappa_D\left(\frac{y(x)}{1-t}\right)\right|} &\leq \frac{(1-t)C_1\left|\frac{y_0 - y(x)}{1-t}\right|}{(\kappa_1 \wedge 1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{(\kappa_1 \wedge 1)^2}|y_0 - y(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate now $|y(x_0) - y(x)|$ for $x \in \partial D(t)$ which are sufficiently close to x_0. We have

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_0) - y(x) &= (y_1(x_0) - y_1(x), y_2(x_0) - y_2(x)), \\ |y_1(x_0) - y_1(x)| &= |\nabla y_1(\xi)||x - x_0|, \\ |y_2(x_0) - y_2(x)| &= |\nabla y_2(\tilde{\xi})||x - x_0|, \end{aligned}$$

where ξ, $\tilde{\xi}$ are points between x and x_0. For $x \in \partial D(t)$ which are sufficiently close to x_0 we have $\delta_{E(t)}(\xi) \geq t/2$, $\delta_{E(t)}(\tilde{\xi}) \geq t/2$. It follows that $\xi \in \operatorname{int}(E(t)^c)$, $\tilde{\xi} \in \operatorname{int}(E(t)^c)$. Using (18) in the appropriate way we get $|\nabla y_1(\xi)| \leq 1$, $|\nabla y_2(\tilde{\xi})| \leq 1$ (this follows by translation and rotation of a coordinate system). Hence $|y(x_0) - y(x)| \leq \sqrt{2}|x - x_0|$. Therefore

$$|\kappa_{D(t)}(x_0) - \kappa_{D(t)}(x)| \leq \frac{C_1}{(\kappa_1 \wedge 1)^2}|y_0 - y(x)| \leq \frac{C_1\sqrt{2}}{(\kappa_1 \wedge 1)^2}|x - x_0|.$$

This holds for $x \in \partial D(t)$ which are sufficiently close to x_0 but by simple geometric arguments it can be extended to any $x \in \partial D(t)$ (with a different constant). □

Now we state some properties of the solution of (1-2) and its harmonic extension which will be needed in the rest of the paper.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open bounded set and $\varphi^{(D)}$ be the solution of (1-2) for D. Then the following scaling property is well known [4, (1.61)]:

$$\varphi^{(aD)}(ax) = a\varphi^{(D)}(x), \quad x \in D,\ a > 0. \tag{19}$$

For any open bounded sets $D_1, D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ put $d(D_1, D_2) = [\sup\{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D_2) : x \in \partial D_1\}] \wedge [\sup\{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D_1) : x \in \partial D_2\}]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{D_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of bounded convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\varphi^{(D_n)}$ be the solution of (1-2) for D_n. If $d(D_n, D_0) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ then for any $x \in D_0$ we have $\varphi^{(D_n)}(x) \to \varphi^{(D_0)}(x)$ as $n \to \infty$.*

This lemma seems to be well known and follows easily from (19) so we omit its proof (in fact it holds not only for convex domains but we need it only in this case).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$, $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$, φ be the solution of (1-2) for D and u the harmonic extension of φ given by (6-10). For any $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ we have $H(u)(x_1, x_2, -x_3) = H(u)(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.*

Proof. For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ put $\hat{x} = (x_1, x_2, -x_3)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ we have $u_{ii}(\hat{x}) = u_{ii}(x)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $u_{12}(\hat{x}) = u_{12}(x)$, $u_{13}(\hat{x}) = -u_{13}(x)$, $u_{23}(\hat{x}) = -u_{23}(x)$. Hence $H(u)(\hat{x}) = H(u)(x)$. □

We will need the following formulas of derivatives of $K(x) = C_K x_3 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-3/2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x) &= -3C_K x_3 x_1 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-5/2}, \\ K_2(x) &= -3C_K x_3 x_2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-5/2}, \\ K_3(x) &= C_K (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_3^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-5/2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11}(x) &= C_K x_3 (12x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 - 3x_3^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}, \\ K_{22}(x) &= C_K x_3 (12x_2^2 - 3x_1^2 - 3x_3^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}, \\ K_{33}(x) &= C_K x_3 (6x_3^2 - 9x_1^2 - 9x_2^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}. \\ K_{12}(x) &= 15C_K x_3 x_1 x_2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}, \\ K_{13}(x) &= C_K x_1 (12x_3^2 - 3x_1^2 - 3x_2^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}, \\ K_{23}(x) &= C_K x_2 (12x_3^2 - 3x_1^2 - 3x_2^2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.6. All constants appearing in this paper are positive and finite. We write $C = C(a, \ldots, z)$ to emphasize that C depends only on $a, \ldots, z$. We adopt the convention that constants denoted by c (or c_1, c_2, etc.) may change their value from one use to the next.

Remark 2.7. In Sections 3, 4 and in the proof of Proposition 6.2 we use the following convention. Constants denoted by c (or c_1, c_2, etc.) depend on $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$, where $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ appear in Definition 2.1 We write $f(x) \approx g(x)$ for $x \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to indicate that there exist constants $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda)$, $c_2 = c_2(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x \in A$ we have $c_1 g(x) \leq f(x) \leq c_2 g(x)$ (in particular, it may happen that both f, g are positive on A or both f, g are negative on A).

3. Estimates of derivatives of φ near ∂D

In this section the behaviour of $\varphi_{i,j}$ near ∂D is studied. The section contains quite complicated and technical estimates. Some new methods are used see e.g. the proof of Lemma 3.6. Nevertheless, most of the technics used in this section are similar to the technics used in the papers by T. Kulczycki [28] and Z.-Q. Chen, R. Song [12]. It should be mentioned that similar estimates of derivatives of α-harmonic functions were simultaneously obtained by the author's student G. Żurek in his Master Thesis [36].

In the whole section we fix $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$, $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ and $x_0 \in \partial D$. We put $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1\}$. φ is the solution of (1-2) for D. Unless it is stated otherwise we fix the coordinate system CS_{x_0} and notation as in Lemma 2.2 (see Figure 1). In particular x_0 is $(0,0)$ in CS_{x_0} coordinates. Let us recall that in the whole section we use convention stated in Remark 2.7.

Let $r \in (0, r_0]$, $z = (r, 0)$, $s \in (0, r]$, $B = B(z, s)$ (where r_0 is the constant from Lemma 2.2). It is well known (see e.g. [4, (1.50), (1.56), (1.57)]) that

$$\varphi(x) = h(x) + \int_{B^c} P(x, y)\varphi(y)\, dy, \quad x \in B, \tag{20}$$

where $h(x) = C_B (s^2 - |x - z|^2)^{1/2}$, $x \in B$,

$$P(x, y) = C_P \frac{(s^2 - |x - z|^2)^{1/2}}{(|y - z|^2 - s^2)^{1/2} |x - y|^2}, \quad x \in B, \ y \in (\overline{B})^c, \tag{21}$$

$C_B = 2/\pi$, $C_P = \pi^{-2}$.

We have $h_1(x) = C_B (r - x_1)(s^2 - |x - z|^2)^{-1/2}$, $x \in B$. Put $P_i(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} P(x, y)$, $i = 1, 2$. For any $x \in B$, $y \in (\overline{B})^c$ we have $P_1(x, y) = A(x, y) + E(x, y)$ where

$$A(x, y) = -C_P \frac{(s^2 - |x - z|^2)^{-1/2} (x_1 - r)}{(|y - z|^2 - s^2)^{1/2} |x - y|^2}, \tag{22}$$

$$E(x,y) = -2C_P \frac{(s^2 - |x-z|^2)^{1/2}(x_1 - y_1)}{(|y-z|^2 - s^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^4}. \tag{23}$$

It is also well known (see e.g. [13]) that $\varphi(y) \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y)$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $r_1 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_1 = r_1(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x_1 \in (0, r_1]$ we have $\varphi_1(x_1, 0) \approx x_1^{-1/2}$.*

Proof. Put $r = r_0$. We will use (20) for $s = r$, in particular $B = B(z, r)$. We have $h_1(x_1, 0) = C_B(r - x_1)(2r - x_1)^{-1/2}x_1^{-1/2} \approx cx_1^{-1/2}$ for $x_1 \in (0, r/4]$. Put

$$k(x) = 1_B(x) \int_{B^c} P(x,y)\varphi(y)\, dy + 1_{B^c}(x)\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We have $k(x) \ge 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, by (20) $k(x) \le \varphi(x)$ on B and k is 1-harmonic on B. For the definition and basic properties of α-harmonic functions see [4, pages 20-21, 61]. The fact that k is 1-harmonic follows from [4, page 61]. By [6, Lemma 3.2] (cf. also [30]) $k_1(x_1, 0) \le cx_1^{-1/2}$ for $x_1 \in (0, r]$. Hence $\varphi_1(x_1, 0) = h_1(x_1, 0) + k_1(x_1, 0) \le cx_1^{-1/2}$ for $x_1 \in (0, r/4]$.

What remains is to show that $\varphi_1(x_1, 0) \ge cx_1^{-1/2}$. For $x_1 \in (0, r]$ we have $\varphi_1(x_1, 0) = \int_{B^c} P_1((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy + h_1(x_1, 0)$. We will estimate $\int_{B^c} P_1\varphi$.

Let $x_1 \in (0, f(r/2) \wedge f(-r/2)]$. Note that $f(r/2) \wedge f(-r/2) \ge c_3 r^2/4$, where c_3 and $r = r_0$ are constants from Lemma 2.2, $c_3 r^2/4$ depends only on Λ. Let $p_1 \in (0, r/2]$ be such that $f(p_1) = x_1$, $p_2 \in [-r/2, 0)$ be such that $f(p_2) = x_1$ (recall that f is defined in Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.2 $f(x_1) < c_4 x_1^2 \le (1/2)x_1$, $f(-x_1) \le (1/2)x_1$, so $p_1 > x_1$ and $|p_2| > x_1$. Let $f_1 : [-r, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f_1(y_2) = r - (r^2 - y_2^2)^{1/2}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in [-x_1, x_1], y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\}, \\ D_2 &= \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in (x_1, p_1] \cup [p_2, -x_1), y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2) \wedge x_1)\}, \\ D_3 &= D \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup B). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy > 0$ and $\int_{D_3} E((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy > 0$.

Since $\varphi(y) \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y)$ we get $\varphi(y) \le cx_1$ for $y \in D_1$ and $\varphi(y) \le cy_2$ for $y \in D_2$. Note that for $y \in D_1 \cup D_2$ we have $|y - z|^2 - r^2 \approx f_1(y_2) - y_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{D_1} E((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy \right| &\le cx_1^{-3/2} \int_{D_1} \frac{dy}{(|y-z|^2 - r^2)^{1/2}} \\ &\approx x_1^{-3/2} \int_{-x_1}^{x_1} dy_2 \int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} (f_1(y_2) - y_1)^{-1/2}\, dy_1 \\ &\approx x_1^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p_1 \le c\sqrt{x_1} \wedge (r/2)$, $|p_2| \le c\sqrt{x_1} \wedge (r/2)$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{D_2} E((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy \right| &\le cx_1^{3/2} \int_{x_1}^{c\sqrt{x_1}\wedge(r/2)} dy_2 y_2^{-3} \int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)\wedge x_1} (f_1(y_2) - y_1)^{-1/2}\, dy_1 \\ &\approx x_1^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

(we omit here $\int_{p_2}^{-x_1} \dots$ because it can be estimated in the same way).

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x_1, 0) &= h_1(x_1, 0) + \int_{D\setminus B} A\varphi + \int_{D_1} E\varphi + \int_{D_2} E\varphi + \int_{D_3} E\varphi \\ &\ge cx_1^{-1/2} - c_1 x_1^{1/2} \ge cx_1^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small x_1 (recall that we use convention from Remark 2.6 that a constant c may change its value from one use to the next). □

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $r_1 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_1 = r_1(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x_1 \in (0, r_1]$ we have $|\varphi_2(x_1, 0)| \le c x_1^{1/2} |\log x_1|$.*

Proof. Put $r = r_0$. We will use (20) for $s = r$, in particular $B = B(z, r)$. Let $x_1 \in (0, r/4]$. We have $\varphi_2(x_1, 0) = \int_{B^c} P_2((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy + h_2(x_1, 0)$, $h_2(x_1, 0) = 0$, $P_2((x_1, 0), y) = 2C_P \frac{(r^2 - |x-z|^2)^{1/2} y_2}{(|y-z|^2 - r^2)^{1/2} |x-y|^4}$, $y \in (\overline{B})^c$. Let f_1 be such as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Put

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in [-x_1, x_1], y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\}, \\ D_2 &= \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in (x_1, r/2] \cup [-r/2, -x_1), y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\}, \\ D_3 &= D \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup B). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varphi(y) \le c x_1$ for $y \in D_1$ and $\varphi(y) \le c y_2$ for $y \in D_2$. Similarly like in Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\left| \int_{D_1} P_2((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy \right| \le c x_1^{-3/2} \int_{D_1} \frac{dy}{(|y-z|^2 - r^2)^{1/2}} \approx x_1^{1/2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{D_2} P_2((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy \right| &\le c x_1^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{r/2} dy_2 y_2^{-2} \int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} (f_1(y_2) - y_1)^{-1/2}\, dy_1 \\ &\approx x_1^{1/2} |\log x_1|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int_{D_3} P_2((x_1, 0), y)\varphi(y)\, dy \right| \le c x_1^{1/2} \int_{D \setminus B} \frac{dy}{(|y-z|^2 - r^2)^{1/2}} \le c x_1^{1/2}.$$

It follows that $|\varphi_2(x_1, 0)| \le c x_1^{1/2} |\log x_1|$. □

By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 2.2 we obtain

Corollary 3.3. *There exists $r_1 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_1 = r_1(\Lambda)$ such that for any $y \in D$, $\delta_D(y) \le r_1$ we have*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(y), \tag{24}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \vec{T}}(y) \right| \le c \delta_D^{1/2}(y) |\log \delta_D(y)|, \tag{25}$$

$$|\nabla \varphi(y)| \le c \delta_D^{-1/2}(y). \tag{26}$$

Lemma 3.4. *φ_1, φ_2 are singular 1-harmonic on D.*

Remark 3.5. φ_{11}, φ_{22} are not 1-harmonic on D because they are not locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see Corollary 3.9).

For the definition of singular α-harmonic functions a reader is referred to [4, page 61]. The definition of α-harmonic functions on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ demands that the function is defined on the whole $\mathbb{R}^d$. φ_1, φ_2 are well defined on D and also on $D^c \setminus \partial D$. φ_1, φ_2 are not well defined on ∂D but ∂D has Lebesgue measure zero. One may formally defined $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$ on ∂D.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. It is enough to show the Lemma for φ_1. Fix $x \in D$, put $\delta_D(x) = 2s$. For any $z \in B(x, s/2)$ (see [4, page 9]) we have

$$-(-\Delta)^{1/2} \varphi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\varphi(z + y) - \varphi(z) - y \nabla \varphi(z) 1_{B(0,s)}(y) \right) \nu(y)\, dy,$$

where $\nu(y) = (2\pi)^{-1} |y|^{-3}$.

Put $F_1(z,y) = \varphi(z+y) - \varphi(z) - y\nabla\varphi(z)$, $F_2(z,y) = \varphi(z+y) - \varphi(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_h &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \delta_D(y) < 2h\}, \\ U_{1,h} &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y + x \in D_h\}, \\ U_{2,h} &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y + x \in D \setminus D_h\} \setminus B(0,s), \\ U_{3,h} &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y + x \in D^c \setminus D_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $h \in (0, s/2)$ define

$$L(h) = \frac{-(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x + e_1 h) + (-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x)}{h}$$

By (1-2) for any $h \in (0, s/2)$ we have $L(h) = 0$. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) &= \frac{1}{h}\int_{B(0,s)} (F_1(x + e_1 h, y) - F_1(x,y))\,\nu(y)\,dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_{U_{1,h}} (F_2(x + e_1 h, y) - F_2(x,y))\,\nu(y)\,dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_{U_{2,h}} (F_2(x + e_1 h, y) - F_2(x,y))\,\nu(y)\,dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_{U_{3,h}} (F_2(x + e_1 h, y) - F_2(x,y))\,\nu(y)\,dy \\ &= \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III} + \mathrm{IV}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathrm{I} = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{B(0,s)} (\varphi_1(x + e_1\xi + y) - \varphi_1(x + e_1\xi) - y\,(\nabla\varphi_1)\,(x + e_1\xi))\,|y|^{-3}\,dy,$$

where $\xi \in (0,h)$. We have

$$|\varphi_1(x + e_1\xi + y) - \varphi_1(x + e_1\xi) - y\,(\nabla\varphi_1)\,(x + e_1\xi)| \le \tilde{C}|y|^2.$$

In this proof $\tilde{C}$ denotes a constant which depends on x and φ but not on h (we use the convention that it may change its value from one use to the next). It follows that

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+} \mathrm{I} = \int_{B(0,s)} (\varphi_1(x+y) - \varphi_1(x) - y\,(\nabla\varphi_1)\,(x))\,\nu(y)\,dy.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{II} &= \frac{1}{2\pi h}\int_{U_{1,h}} (\varphi(x + e_1 h + y) - \varphi(x+y))\,|y|^{-3}\,dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi h}\int_{U_{1,h}} (\varphi(x + e_1 h) - \varphi(x))\,|y|^{-3}\,dy \\ &= \mathrm{IV} - \mathrm{V}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\varphi(x+y)| \le \tilde{C}h^{1/2}$, $|\varphi(x + e_1 h + y)| \le \tilde{C}h^{1/2}$ and $\int_{U_{1,h}} |y|^{-3}\,dy \le \tilde{C}h$ for $h \in (0, s/2)$, $y \in U_{1,h}$ so $|\mathrm{IV}| \le \tilde{C}h^{1/2}$. Note also that $|\varphi(x + e_1 h) - \varphi(x)| \le \tilde{C}h$ for $h \in (0, s/2)$ so $|\mathrm{V}| \le \tilde{C}h$, $|\mathrm{II}| \le \tilde{C}h^{1/2}$.

We also have

$$\mathrm{III} = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{U_{2,h}}(y)\,(\varphi_1(x + e_1\xi + y) - \varphi_1(x + e_1\xi))\,|y|^{-3}\,dy,$$

where $\xi \in (0,h)$. Note that for $h \in (0, s/2)$, $y \in U_{2,h}$ and $\xi \in (0,h)$ we have $\delta_D(x + y + e_1\xi) \approx \delta_D(x+y)$ so

$$1_{U_{2,h}}(y)\,|\varphi_1(x + e_1\xi + y) - \varphi_1(x + e_1\xi)| \le \tilde{C}(\delta_D^{-1/2}(x+y) + 1).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+} \mathrm{III} = \int_{\{y:\, y+x\in D\}\setminus B(0,s)} (\varphi_1(x+y)-\varphi_1(x))\,\nu(y)\,dy.$$

We also get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{IV} &= (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{U_{3,h}} -\varphi_1(x+e_1\xi)|y|^{-3}\,dy\\ &= (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{U_{3,h}} (\varphi_1(x+y)-\varphi_1(x+e_1\xi))\,|y|^{-3}\,dy,\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi\in(0,h)$, because $\varphi_1(x+y)=0$ for $y\in U_{3,h}$. Hence

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+} \mathrm{IV} = \int_{\{y:\, y+x\in D^c\}} (\varphi_1(x+y)-\varphi_1(x))\,\nu(y)\,dy.$$

It follows that $0=\lim_{h\to 0^+} L(h) = -(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\varphi_1(x)$. □

Lemma 3.6. *There exists $r_2\in(0,r_0/4]$, $r_2=r_2(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x_1\in(0,r_2]$ we have $\varphi_{22}(x_1,0)\approx -x_1^{-1/2}$.*

Proof. Put $r=r_0$. Let r_1 be the constant from Corollary 3.3. In this proof we take $s\in(r-(r_1/2)^2,r)$, i.e. $0<r-s<(r_1/2)^2$. Recall that $z=(r,0)$, $B=B(z,s)$ and P is given by (21). For any $x_1\in(r-s,r]$ by Lemma 3.4 we have $\varphi_2(x_1,0)=\int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy$. It follows that $\varphi_{22}(x_1,0)=\int_{D\setminus B} P_2((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy$. We have $P_2((x_1,0),y)=2C_P\frac{(s^2-|x-z|^2)^{1/2}y_2}{(|y-z|^2-s^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^4}$. Take $x_1=\sqrt{r-s}$ (we have $\sqrt{r-s}<r_1/2$). Let $f_1:[-s,s]\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f_1(y_2)=r-\sqrt{s^2-y_2^2}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}D_1 &= \{(y_1,y_2):\, y_2\in[-x_1,x_1], y_1\in(f(y_2),f_1(y_2))\},\\ D_2 &= \{(y_1,y_2):\, y_2\in(x_1,r_1/2]\cup[-r_1/2,-x_1), y_1\in(f(y_2),f_1(y_2))\},\\ D_3 &= D\setminus(D_1\cup D_2\cup B).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 we have for $y\in D_1\cup D_2$

$$\varphi_2(y)=\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)-\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y).$$

Note that by definition of s we have $\delta_D(y)<r_1$ for $y\in D_1\cup D_2$. By Corollary 3.3 we get for $y\in D_1\cup D_2$

$$\left|\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right|\le c(y_1-f(y_2))^{1/2}|\log(y_1-f(y_2))|$$

and

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\approx(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\left|\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right|\le c(y_1-f(y_2))^{1/2}|\log(y_1-f(y_2))|$$

and

$$-\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\approx -y_2(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}.$$

Note also that for $y\in D_1\cup D_2$ we have $(|y-z|^2-s^2)^{1/2}\approx(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{1/2}$. Recall that we have chosen $x_1=\sqrt{r-s}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{D_1} P_2((x_1,0),y)\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\,dy\\ \approx\ & -x_1^{-7/2}\int_{-x_1}^{x_1} dy_2 y_2^2\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}\approx -x_1^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

because $\int_a^b (x-a)^{-1/2}(b-x)^{-1/2}\,dx = \text{const}$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_{D_2} P_2((x_1,0),y)\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\,dy \\ \approx\ & -x_1^{1/2}\int_{x_1}^{r_1/2} dy_2 y_2^{-2}\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2} \approx -x_1^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{D_1} P_2((x_1,0),y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\,dy\right| \\ \le\ & cx_1^{-7/2}\int_{-x_1}^{x_1} dy_2 y_2\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}(y_1-f(y_2))^{1/2}|\log(y_1-f(y_2))| \\ \le\ & cx_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{D_2} P_2((x_1,0),y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\,dy\right| \\ \le\ & cx_1^{1/2}\int_{x_1}^{r_1/2} dy_2 y_2^{-3}\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}(y_1-f(y_2))^{1/2}|\log(y_1-f(y_2))| \\ \le\ & cx_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\int_{D_3} P_2((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy\right| \le cx_1^{1/2}\int_{D_3}\delta_B^{-1/2}(y)\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le cx_1^{1/2}.$$

It follows that

$$-c_1x_1^{-1/2} - c_2x_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|^2 \le \varphi_{22}(x_1,0) \le -c_3x_1^{-1/2} + c_4x_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|^2,$$

where $x_1 = \sqrt{r-s}$. It is very important that c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 do not depend on s. Hence there exists $r_2 \in (0, r/4]$, $r_2 = r_2(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x_1 \in (0, r_2]$ we have $\varphi_{22}(x_1,0) \approx -x_1^{-1/2}$. □

Lemma 3.7. *There exists $r_2 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_2 = r_2(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x_1 \in (0, r_2]$ we have $\varphi_{11}(x_1,0) \approx -x_1^{-3/2}$.*

Proof. First we show that $|\varphi_{11}(x_1,0)| \le cx_1^{-3/2}$, $x_1 \in (0, r_2]$. We will use similar notation as in Lemma 3.6. Put $r = r_0$. Let r_1 be the constant from Corollary 3.3. We take $s \in (r-(r_1/2)^2, r)$, $z = (r,0)$, $B = B(z,s)$ and P is given by (21). For any $x_1 \in (r-s, r]$ by Lemma 3.4 we have $\varphi_1(x_1,0) = \int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{11}(x_1,0) &= \int_{D\setminus B} P_1((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy \\ &= \int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy + \int_{D\setminus B} E((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy, \end{aligned}$$

where A, E are given by (22), (23).

Take $x_1 = \sqrt{r-s}$ (we have $\sqrt{r-s} < r_1/2 \le r/8$). By (26) $|\varphi_1(y)| \le c\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)$, $y \in D$. We have

$$\int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy = \frac{r-x_1}{s^2-(x_1-r)^2}\int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy,$$

$$\left|\int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy\right| = |\varphi_1(x_1,0)| \le cx_1^{-1/2}$$

and $\frac{r-x_1}{s^2-(x_1-r)^2} \approx x_1^{-1}$ so

$$\left|\int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy\right| \leq cx_1^{-3/2}$$

for $x_1=\sqrt{r-s}$.

Let f_1, D_1, D_2, D_3 be such as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Using $|\varphi_1(y)| \leq c\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)$ and similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 we get the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{D_1} E((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy\right| \qquad (27)\\ \leq\ & cx_1^{-5/2}\int_{-x_1}^{x_1} dy_2 \int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2} \leq cx_1^{-3/2},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{D_2} E((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy\right| \qquad (28)\\ \leq\ & cx_1^{1/2}\int_{x_1}^{r_1/2} dy_2\, y_2^{-4} \int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}(x_1+y_1)\\ \leq\ & cx_1^{-3/2},\end{aligned}$$

(here we used the estimate $y_1 \leq cy_2^2$).

$$\left|\int_{D_3} E((x_1,0),y)\varphi_1(y)\,dy\right| \leq cx_1^{1/2}\int_{D_3} \delta_B^{-1/2}(y)\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \leq cx_1^{1/2}.$$

It follows that $|\varphi_{11}(x_1,0)| \leq cx_1^{-3/2}$, where c does not depend on s and $x_1=\sqrt{r-s}$. Since $s \in (r-(r_1/2)^2, r)$ we get $|\varphi_{11}(x_1,0)| \leq cx_1^{-3/2}$, $x_1 \in (0, r_1/2]$.

Now we will show that $\varphi_{11}(x_1,0) \leq -cx_1^{-3/2}$ for $x_1 \in (0,r_2]$. Here we will use notation similar to the notation used in the proof of Lemma 3.1. We will use (20) for $s=r$, in particular $B=B(z,r)$. By (20) we get for $x_1 \in (0,r]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{11}(x_1,0) = h_{11}(x_1,0) + \int_{D\setminus B} P_{11}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy\\ =\ & h_{11}(x_1,0) + \int_{D\setminus B} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy + \int_{D\setminus B} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_1}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

One easily gets $h_{11}(x_1,0) \approx -x_1^{-3/2}$ for $x_1 \in (0,r/4]$. For $x \in B$, $y \in (\overline{B})^c$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1}(x,y) &= \frac{-C_P(r^2-|x-z|^2)^{-3/2}(x_1-r)^2}{(|y-z|^2-r^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^2} + \frac{-C_P(r^2-|x-z|^2)^{-1/2}}{(|y-z|^2-r^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^2}\\ &+ \frac{-2C_P(r^2-|x-z|^2)^{-1/2}(r-x_1)(x_1-y_1)}{(|y-z|^2-r^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^4}\\ &= A^{(1)}(x,y)+A^{(2)}(x,y)+A^{(3)}(x,y),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_1}(x,y) &= \frac{-2C_P(r^2-|x-z|^2)^{-1/2}(r-x_1)(x_1-y_1)}{(|y-z|^2-r^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^4} + \frac{-2C_P(r^2-|x-z|^2)^{1/2}}{(|y-z|^2-r^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^4}\\ &+ \frac{8C_P(r^2-|x-z|^2)^{1/2}(x_1-y_1)^2}{(|y-z|^2-r^2)^{1/2}|x-y|^6}\\ &= E^{(1)}(x,y)+E^{(2)}(x,y)+E^{(3)}(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

Let $x_1 \in (0,r/8]$, $y \in (\overline{B})^c$. We have $A^{(1)}(x,y) \leq 0$, $A^{(2)}(x,y) \leq 0$. We also have $A^{(3)}(x,y) \geq 0$ iff $y_1 \geq x_1$. Let f_1 be such as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $p_1' > 0$ be

such that $f_1(p_1') = x_1$, $p_2' < 0$ be such that $f_1(p_2') = x_1$ (we have $p_2' = -p_1'$). Note that $p_1' \approx \sqrt{x_1}$, $|p_2'| \approx \sqrt{x_1}$. Note also that $f_1(r/2) = r(1-\sqrt{3}/2) > r/8$ and $f_1(p_1') = x_1 \le r/8$ so $p_1' < r/2$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} D_1' &= \{(y_1,y_2) : y_2 \in [p_2', p_1'], y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\},\\ D_2' &= \{(y_1,y_2) : y_2 \in (p_1', r/2] \cup [-r/2, p_2'), y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\},\\ D_3' &= D \setminus (D_1' \cup D_2' \cup B). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\int_{D_1'} A^{(3)}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy \le 0$. Note that for $y \in D_2'$ we have $y_1 \le f_1(y_2) \le cy_2^2$, $\varphi(y) \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y) \le c(y_2^2)^{1/2} = cy_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_2'} A^{(3)}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy &\le cx_1^{-1/2}\int_{c\sqrt{x_1}}^{r/2} dy_2\, y_2^{-4}\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1\,(y_1 - f_1(y_2))^{-1/2} y_1\varphi(y)\\ &\le cx_1^{-1/2}\int_{c\sqrt{x_1}}^{r/2} dy_2 \le cx_1^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\int_{D_3'} A^{(3)}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy\right| \le cx_1^{-1/2}\int_{D_3'} \delta_B^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le cx_1^{-1/2}.$$

Note that $E^{(1)}(x,y) = A^{(3)}(x,y)$ and $E^{(2)}(x,y) \le 0$. To estimate $\int_{D\setminus B} E^{(3)}\varphi$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} D_1'' &= \{(y_1,y_2) : y_2 \in [-x_1, x_1], y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\},\\ D_2'' &= \{(y_1,y_2) : y_2 \in (x_1, r/2] \cup [-r/2, -x_1), y_1 \in (f(y_2), f_1(y_2))\},\\ D_3'' &= D \setminus (D_1'' \cup D_2'' \cup B). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in D_1''$ we have $(x_1 - y_1)^2 \le x_1^2$, $\varphi(y) \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y) \le cx_1$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_1''} E^{(3)}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy &\le cx_1^{-7/2}\int_{-x_1}^{x_1} dy_2\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1\,(y_1 - f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}\varphi(y)\\ &\le cx_1^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in D_2''$ we have $(x_1 - y_1)^2 \le x_1^2 + y_1^2 \le x_1^2 + cy_2^4$ and $\varphi(y) \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y) \le cy_2$ so

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{D_2''} E^{(3)}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy\\ &\le cx_1^{1/2}\int_{x_1}^{r/2} dy_2\, y_2^{-6}(x_1^2 + y_2^4)\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1\,(y_1 - f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}\varphi(y)\\ &\le cx_1^{5/2}\int_{x_1}^{r/2} y_2^{-4}\,dy_2 + cx_1^{1/2}\int_{x_1}^{r/2} dy_2 \le cx_1^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $\int_{D_3''} E^{(3)}((x_1,0),y)\varphi(y)\,dy \le cx_1^{1/2}$.

It follows that for sufficiently small x_1 we have $\varphi_{11}(x_1,0) \le -cx_1^{-3/2}$. □

Lemma 3.8. *There exists $r_2 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_2 = r_2(\Lambda)$ such that for any $x_1 \in (0, r_2]$ we have $|\varphi_{12}(x_1,0)| \le cx_1^{-1/2}|\log x_1|$.*

Proof. We will use similar notation as in Lemma 3.6. Put $r = r_0$. Let r_1 be the constant from Corollary 3.3. We take $s \in (r - (r_1/2)^2, r)$. Recall that $z = (r,0)$, $B = B(z,s)$ and P is given by (21). For any $x_1 \in (r-s, r]$ by Lemma 3.4 we have $\varphi_2(x_1,0) =$

$\int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{12}(x_1,0) &= \int_{D\setminus B} P_1((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy\\ &= \int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy + \int_{D\setminus B} E((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Take $x_1=\sqrt{r-s}$ (we have $\sqrt{r-s}<r_1/2\le r/8$). We have

$$\int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy = \frac{r-x_1}{(s^2-(x_1-r)^2)}\int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy.$$

By Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\left|\int_{D\setminus B} P((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy\right| = |\varphi_2(x_1,0)| \le c x_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|.$$

Since $(r-x_1)(s^2-(x_1-r)^2)^{-1}\approx x_1^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\left|\int_{D\setminus B} A((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy\right| \le c x_1^{-1/2}|\log x_1|,$$

for $x_1=\sqrt{r-s}$.

Let f_1, D_1, D_2, D_3 be such as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. By Lemma 2.2 we have for $y\in D_1\cup D_2$

$$\varphi_2(y) = \cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y) - \sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y).$$

By the arguments from the proof of Lemma 3.6 we have for $y\in D_1\cup D_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right| &\le c(y_1-f(y_2))^{1/2}|\log(y_1-f(y_2))|\\ &\le c y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|,\\ \left|\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\right| &\le c y_2 (y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly like in the proofs of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 we obtain the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{D_1} E((x_1,0),y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\,dy\right|\\ \le\ & c x_1^{-5/2}\int_{-x_1}^{x_1} dy_2\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1| \le c x_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the following facts $y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|\le c y_2|\log y_2|\le c x_1|\log x_1|$, $\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)}(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}\,dy_1\le c f_1^{1/2}(y_2)\le c y_2\le c x_1$.

Using similar arguments we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{D_2} E((x_1,0),y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\,dy\right|\\ \le\ & c x_1^{1/2}\int_{x_1}^{r/2} dy_2\, y_2^{-4}\int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1(-y_1+f_1(y_2))^{-1/2}y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|(x_1+y_1)\\ \le\ & c x_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|.\end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as in (27), (28) one can easily obtain

$$\left|\int_{D_1} E((x_1,0),y)y_2(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}\,dy\right| \le c x_1^{-1/2},$$

$$\left|\int_{D_2} E((x_1,0),y)y_2(y_1-f(y_2))^{-1/2}\,dy\right| \le cx_1^{-1/2} + cx_1^{1/2}|\log x_1|,$$

We also have

$$\left|\int_{D_3} E((x_1,0),y)\varphi_2(y)\,dy\right| \le cx_1^{1/2}\int_{D_3}\delta_B^{-1/2}(y)\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le cx_1^{1/2}.$$

It follows that $|\varphi_{12}(x_1,0)| \le cx_1^{-1/2}|\log x_1|$, where c does not depend on s and $x_1 = \sqrt{r-s}$. Since $s \in (r-(r_1/2)^2, r)$ we get $|\varphi_{12}(x_1,0)| \le cx_1^{-1/2}|\log x_1|$, $x_1 \in (0, r_1/2]$. □

By Lemmas 2.2, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 and Corollary 3.3 we obtain

Corollary 3.9. *There exists $r_2 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_2 = r_2(\Lambda)$ such that for any $y \in D$, $\delta_D(y) \le r_2$ we have (24), (25), (26) and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}^2}(y) &\approx -\delta_D^{-3/2}(y),\\
\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}^2}(y) &\approx -\delta_D^{-1/2}(y),\\
\left|\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right| &\le c\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)|\log(\delta_D(y))|.
\end{aligned}$$

The coordinate system and notation in the following lemma is the same as in the whole section.

Lemma 3.10. *There exists $r_3 \in (0, r_0/4]$, $r_3 = r_3(\Lambda)$ such that for any $y = (y_1, y_2) \in B((r_3,0), r_3)$ we have*

$$|\varphi_2(y)| \le c(y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1| + |y_2|y_1^{-1/2}), \tag{29}$$

$$|\varphi_{12}(y)| \le c(y_1^{-1/2}|\log y_1| + |y_2|y_1^{-3/2}), \tag{30}$$

$$|\varphi_{22}(y)| \approx -y_1^{-1/2} \tag{31}$$

and for any $y = (y_1, y_2) \in W_{r_3}$ we have

$$\varphi_1(y) \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(y), \tag{32}$$

where $W_{r_3} = \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in [-r_3, r_3], y_1 \in (f(y_2), r_3]\}$.

Proof. We may assume that $y_2 > 0$. Let $r \in (0, r_2]$ where r_2 is the constant from Corollary 3.9 (recall that $r_2 \le r_0/4$). Let $y = (y_1, y_2) \in B((r,0), r)$ with $y_2 > 0$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\sin\alpha(y) \approx y_2$, $\cos\alpha(y) \approx c$. We also have $\delta_D(y) \approx y_1$ and $y_2^2 \le cy_1$.

By Corollary 3.9 we get $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y) \approx -\delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \approx -y_1^{-1/2}$, $\left|\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right| \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y)|\log(\delta_D(y))| \le cy_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|$. Using this and the formula for φ_2 from Lemma 2.2 we get (29).

By Corollary 3.9 we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right| \le c\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)|\log(\delta_D(y))| \le cy_1^{-1/2}|\log y_1|,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}^2}(y) - \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}^2}(y)\right| \le c\delta_D^{-3/2}(y) \le cy_1^{-3/2}.$$

Using this and the formula for φ_{12} from Lemma 2.2 we get (30).

By Corollary 3.9 we have $\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}^2}(y) \approx -\delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \approx -y_1^{-1/2}$, $\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}^2}(y) \approx -\delta_D^{-3/2}(y) \approx -y_1^{-3/2}$. $\sin^2\alpha(y) \approx y_2^2 \le cy_1$,

$$\left|\sin\alpha(y)\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}\partial\vec{T}}(y)\right| \le cy_2y_1^{-1/2}|\log y_1| \le c|\log y_1|.$$



FIGURE 2.

Using this and the formula for φ_{22} from Lemma 2.2 we get (31) for sufficiently small r.

By (24), (25) and the formula for φ_1 from Lemma 2.2 we get (32) for sufficiently small r. □

We have $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x \in D$. We need to estimate $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x)$ for $x \in (\overline{D})^c$. For such x we have $(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x) = -(2\pi)^{-1}\int_D \frac{\varphi(y)}{|y-x|^3}\,dy$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $x = (-x_1, 0)$, $x_1 > 0$. We have*

$$\left|(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x)\right| \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-5/2}.$$

Proof. Put $r = r_0$. When $x_1 \in (-\infty, -r/2)$ we have

$$\int_D \frac{\varphi(y)}{|y-x|^3}\,dy \approx |x|^{-3} \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-5/2}.$$

When $x_1 \in [-r/2, 0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_D \frac{\varphi(y)}{|y-x|^3}\,dy \;&\approx\; \int_{D\cap B(0,\delta_D(x))} \delta_D^{-5/2}(x)\,dy + \int_{D\cap(B(0,r/2)\setminus B(0,\delta_D(x)))} |y|^{-5/2}\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_{D\cap B^c(0,r/2)} |y|^{-5/2}\,dy \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

By Lemma 3.11 we obtain immediately

Corollary 3.12. *For any $x \in (\overline{D})^c$ we have*

$$\left|(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x)\right| \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(x)(1+|x|)^{-5/2}.$$

4. Estimates of derivatives of u near $\partial D \times \{0\}$

In this section we study the behaviour of $u_{i,j}$ near $\partial D \times \{0\}$.

In the whole section we fix $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$, $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ and $x_0 \in \partial D$. We put $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1\}$. φ is the solution of (1-2) for D and u is the harmonic extension of φ given by (6-10). Unless it is otherwise stated we fix a 2-dimensional coordinate system CS_{x_0} and notation as in Lemma 2.2 (see Figure 1). In particular x_0 is $(0,0)$ in CS_{x_0} coordinates. To study u we also use a 3-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system $0x_1x_2x_3$, see Figure 2, which is formed (roughly speaking) by adding $0x_3$ axis to the above 2-dimensional coordinate system. Let us recall that in the whole section we use convention stated in Remark 2.7.

Put $r = r_2 \wedge r_3 \wedge f(r_0/4) \wedge f(-r_0/4)$, where r_0, r_2, r_3 are the constant from Lemma 2.2, Corollary 3.9 and Lemma 3.10. Note that $f(r_0/4) \wedge f(-r_0/4) \geq c_3 r_0^2/16$, where c_3 is



FIGURE 3.

a constant from Lemma 2.2, $c_3 r_0^2/16$ depends only on Λ. For any $h \in (0, r]$ we put (see Figure 3):

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1(h) &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = -h, x_2 = 0, x_3 \in (0, h/4]\},\\
S_2(h) &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = -h, x_2 = 0, x_3 \in (h/4, h]\}\\
&\quad \cup \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 \in (-h, 0], x_2 = 0, x_3 = h\},\\
S_3(h) &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 \in (0, h], x_2 = 0, x_3 = h\}\\
&\quad \cup \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = h, x_2 = 0, x_3 \in (h/4, h]\},\\
S_4(h) &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = h, x_2 = 0, x_3 \in (0, h/4]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The main result of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists $h_0 \in (0, r/4]$, $h_0 = h_0(\Lambda)$ such that for any $h \in (0, h_0]$ we have*

$$u_{22}(x) \approx -x_3 h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h), \quad u_{22}(x) \approx -h^{-1/2} \text{ for } x \in S_4(h),$$
$$u_{11}(x) \approx h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_2(h), \quad u_{11}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_4(h),$$
$$|u_{11}(x)| \le c x_3 h^{-5/2} \text{ for } x \in S_1(h) \cup S_3(h),$$
$$u_{33}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_2(h), \quad u_{33}(x) \approx h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_4(h),$$
$$|u_{33}(x)| \le c x_3 h^{-5/2} \text{ for } x \in S_1(h) \cup S_3(h),$$

$$u_{13}(x) \approx h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_1(h), \quad u_{13}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_3(h),$$
$$|u_{13}(x)| \le c h^{-3/2} \text{ for } x \in S_2(h) \cup S_4(h), \quad u_{13}(x) \le -c x_3 h^{-5/2} \text{ for } x \in S_4(h),$$
$$|u_{12}(x)| \le c x_3 h^{-3/2} |\log h| \text{ for } x \in S_1(h),$$
$$|u_{12}(x)| \le c h^{-1/2} |\log h| \text{ for } x \in S_2(h) \cup S_3(h) \cup S_4(h),$$
$$|u_{23}(x)| \le c h^{-1/2} |\log h| \text{ for } x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h),$$
$$|u_{23}(x)| \le c h^{-3/4} |\log h| \text{ for } x \in S_4(h).$$

Proof. Let $h \in (0, r/8]$. Let us define $f_1 : [-r, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f_1(y_2) = r - \sqrt{r^2 - y_2^2}$ and $g_1 : [-r, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ by $g_1(y_1) = \sqrt{r^2 - (y_1 - r)^2}$.

Step 1. Estimate $u_{22}(x) \approx -x_3 h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$.
We have

$$u_{22}(x) = \int_D K_2(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \varphi_2(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2. \tag{33}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \{(y_1,y_2):\, y_1\in[f_1(h),h], y_2\in[-g_1(y_1),g_1(y_1)]\},\\
D_2 &= \{(y_1,y_2):\, y_1\in(h,r], y_2\in[-g_1(y_1),g_1(y_1)]\},\\
D_3 &= \{(y_1,y_2):\, y_2\in[-h,h], y_1\in(f(y_2),f_1(h))\},\\
D_4 &= \{(y_1,y_2):\, y_2\in[-r/2,-h]\cup[h,r/2], y_1\in(f(y_2),f_1(y_2))\},\\
D_5 &= D\setminus(D_1\cup D_2\cup D_3\cup D_4).
\end{aligned}$$

For $i=1,2,3,4$ we also put $D_{i+}=\{(y_1,y_2)\in D_i:\, y_2>0$, $D_{i-}=\{(y_1,y_2)\in D_i:\, y_2<0\}$.

Note that $f_1(h)\le h^2/r\le h/4$.

We will estimate (33). The most important is $\int_{D_1\cup D_2}K_2\varphi_2$. By Lemma 3.10 for $y\in D_{1+}\cup D_{2+}$ we have $\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)-\varphi_2(y_1,-y_2)=2y_2\varphi_{22}(y_1,\xi)\approx -y_2y_1^{-1/2}$, where $\xi\in(-y_2,y_2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_1\cup D_2}K_2(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2\\
=\;& cx_3\int_{D_{1+}\cup D_{2+}}\frac{y_2}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}(\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)-\varphi_2(y_1,-y_2))\,dy_1\,dy_2\\
\approx\;& cx_3\int_{D_{1+}\cup D_{2+}}\frac{-y_2^2y_1^{-1/2}}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\,dy_1\,dy_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_{1+}}\frac{-y_2^2y_1^{-1/2}}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\,dy_1\,dy_2\\
\approx\;& \frac{1}{h^5}\int_{f_1(h)}^h dy_1\,y_1^{-1/2}\int_0^h dy_2\,(-y_2^2)+\int_{f_1(h)}^h dy_1\,y_1^{-1/2}\int_h^{g_1(y_1)}dy_2\,\frac{-y_2^2}{y_2^5}\\
\approx\;& -h^{-3/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_{2+}}\frac{-y_2^2y_1^{-1/2}}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\,dy_1\,dy_2\\
\approx\;& \int_h^r dy_1\int_0^{y_1}dy_2\,\frac{-y_2^2y_1^{-1/2}}{y_1^5}+\int_h^r dy_1\int_{y_1}^{g_1(y_1)}dy_2\,\frac{-y_2^2y_1^{-1/2}}{y_2^5}\\
\approx\;& -h^{-3/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\int_{D_1\cup D_2}K_2\varphi_2\approx -x_3h^{-3/2}$.

Now we will estimate $\int_{D_3\cup D_4}K_2\varphi_2$. It is sufficient to estimate $\int_{D_{3+}\cup D_{4+}}K_2\varphi_2$. The estimate $\int_{D_{3-}\cup D_{4-}}K_2\varphi_2$ is the same. By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 3.9 we get for $y\in D_{3+}\cup D_{4+}$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_2(y)| &= \left|\cos\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{T}}(y)-\sin\alpha(y)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\right|\\
&\le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y)|\log\delta_D(y)|+cy_2\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\\
&\le c(f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)^{1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{1/2}|\log((f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)f^{-1}(y_1))|\\
&+ cy_2(f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)^{-1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{D_{3+}} K_2(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2\right| \\
\le\ & \frac{cx_3}{h^5}\int_0^{f_1(h)} dy_1 \int_0^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dy_2 y_2 |\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)| \\
\le\ & \frac{cx_3}{h^5}\int_0^{f_1(h)} dy_1 \int_0^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dy_2 (f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)^{1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{1/2} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times|\log((f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)f^{-1}(y_1))|y_2 \\
+\ & \frac{cx_3}{h^5}\int_0^{f_1(h)} dy_1 \int_0^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dy_2 (f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)^{-1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{-1/2} y_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting $w = f^{-1}(y_1) - y_2$ and using $y_2 = f^{-1}(y_1) - w \le f^{-1}(y_1)$, $f^{-1}(y_1) \approx y_1^{1/2}$, $f_1(h) \le ch^2$ this is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{cx_3}{h^5}\int_0^{f_1(h)} dy_1 \int_0^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dw w^{1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{3/2}|\log(wf^{-1}(y_1))| \\
+\ & \frac{cx_3}{h^5}\int_0^{f_1(h)} dy_1 \int_0^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dw w^{-1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{3/2} \\
\le\ & cx_3|\log h| + cx_3 h^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{D_{4+}} K_2(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2\right| \\
\le\ & cx_3\int_h^{r/2} dy_2 \int_{f(y_2)}^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1 \frac{y_2}{y_2^5}|\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)| \\
\le\ & cx_3\int_{f(h)}^{f_1(r/2)} dy_1 \int_{g_1(y_1)}^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dy_2 y_2^{-4}(f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)^{1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{1/2} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times|\log((f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)f^{-1}(y_1))| \\
+\ & cx_3\int_{f(h)}^{f_1(r/2)} dy_1 \int_{g_1(y_1)}^{f^{-1}(y_1)} dy_2 y_2^{-3}(f^{-1}(y_1)-y_2)^{-1/2}(f^{-1}(y_1))^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly like in the estimate $\int_{D_{3+}} K_2\varphi_2$ using substitution $w = f^{-1}(y_1) - y_2$ we obtain that it is bounded from above by $cx_3|\log h|^2 + cx_3h^{-1}$. We also have

$$\left|\int_{D_5} K_2(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2\right| \le cx_3\int_{D_5}\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le cx_3.$$

It follows that $u_{22}(x) = \int_D K_2\varphi_2 \approx -x_3h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_1(h)\cup S_2(h)\cup S_3(h)$ and sufficiently small h.

Step 2. Estimate $u_{22}(x) \approx -h^{-1/2}$ for $x \in S_4(h)$.

We have

$$u_{22}(x) = \int_D K_2(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi_2(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2.$$

Put $A = B((h,0),h/2)$, $A_+ = \{y \in A : y_2 > 0\}$, $A_{1+} = \{y \in B((h,0),x_3) : y_2 > 0\}$, $A_{2+} = A_+ \setminus A_{1+}$. By the same argument as in Step 1 we obtain $\int_{D\setminus A} K_2\varphi_2 \approx -x_3h^{-3/2}$. Similarly like in Step 1 for $y \in A$ we obtain $\varphi_2(y_1,y_2) - \varphi_2(y_1,-y_2) \approx -y_2y_1^{-1/2} \approx$

$-y_2h^{-1/2}$. Note that for $x \in S_4(h)$ we have $x = (h, 0, x_3)$, where $x_3 \in (0, h/4]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_A K_2(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi_2(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2 \\
= &\int_{A_+} K_2(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)(\varphi_2(y_1, y_2) - \varphi_2(y_1, -y_2))\, dy_1\, dy_2 \\
\approx &-x_3h^{-1/2} \int_{A_{1+}\cup A_{2+}} \frac{y_2^2}{((h - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}}\, dy_1\, dy_2 \\
\approx &\frac{-h^{-1/2}}{x_3^4} \int_0^{x_3} \rho^3\, d\rho - x_3h^{-1/2} \int_{x_3}^{h/2} \rho^{-2}\, d\rho \approx -h^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimate $|u_{11}(x)| \le cx_3h^{-5/2}$, $|u_{33}(x)| \le cx_3h^{-5/2}$, $|u_{13}(x)| \le ch^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$.

We have

$$u_{11}(x) = \int_D K_{11}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2,$$

Put $D_1 = D \cap B(0, h)$. For $y \in D_1$ we have $\varphi(y) \le ch^{1/2}$, for $y \in D \setminus D_1$ we have $\varphi(y) \le c(\operatorname{dist}(0, y))^{1/2}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{D_1} K_{11}\varphi\right| &\le cx_3 \frac{h^2}{h^7} h^{1/2} \int_{D_1} dy \approx cx_3h^{-5/2}, \\
\left|\int_{D\setminus D_1} K_{11}\varphi\right| &\le cx_3 \int_h^\infty \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^7} \rho^{1/2} \rho\, d\rho \approx cx_3h^{-5/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{11}(x) + u_{22}(x) + u_{33}(x) = 0$ and by Step 1 $u_{22}(x) \approx -x_3h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$ we get $|u_{33}(x)| \le cx_3h^{-5/2}$.

Similarly we have

$$u_{13}(x) = \int_D K_{13}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{D_1} K_{13}\varphi\right| &\le ch \frac{h^2}{h^7} h^{1/2} \int_{D_1} dy \approx ch^{-3/2}, \\
\left|\int_{D\setminus D_1} K_{13}\varphi\right| &\le c \int_h^\infty \frac{\rho^3}{\rho^7} \rho^{1/2} \rho\, d\rho \approx ch^{-3/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Estimate $u_{13}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_1(h)$.

We have

$$u_{13}(x) = \int_D K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi_1(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2,$$

$$K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) = C_K \frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 - 2x_3^2}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}}.$$

Put $D_1 = \{(y_1, y_2) : y_2 \in (-r, r), y_1 \in (f(y_2), r)\}$. By Lemma 3.10 we get $\varphi_1(y) \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(y)$ for $y \in D_1$. We also have $K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \ge 0$ for $y \in D_1$ and $x \in S_1(h)$. Let $\beta(y)$ be the acute angle between $0y$ and y_1 axis. Put $D_2 = \{(y_1, y_2) : |y| \in (h, r), \beta(y) \in [0, \pi/6)\}$. Clearly, $D_2 \subset D_1$. For $y \in D_2$ we have $\varphi_1(y) \approx \delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \approx |y|^{-1/2}$ and $K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \ge c|y|^{-3}$. It follows that

$$\int_{D_1} K_3\varphi_1 \ge \int_{D_2} |y|^{-7/2}\, dy \approx h^{-3/2}.$$

We also have

$$\left|\int_{D\setminus D_1} K_3\varphi_1\right| \le c\int_{D\setminus D_1} \delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le c.$$

Hence $u_{13}(x) \ge ch^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_1(h)$ and sufficiently small h. By Step 3 $|u_{13}(x)| \le ch^{-3/2}$ so $u_{13}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$.

Step 5. Estimates $u_{11}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$, $u_{33}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_2(h)$.

Step 5 is similar to Step 4. We have

$$u_{11}(x) = \int_D K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi_1(y_1, y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2,$$

$$K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) = 3C_K \frac{x_3(y_1 - x_1)}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}}.$$

Let D_1, D_2 be such as in Step 4. We have $K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \ge 0$ for $y \in D_1$ and $x \in S_2(h)$. For $y \in D_2$ and $x \in S_2(h)$ we have $K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \ge ch|y|^{-4}$. It follows that

$$\int_{D_1} K_1\varphi_1 \ge ch\int_{D_2} |y|^{-9/2}\,dy \approx h^{-3/2}.$$

We also have $\left|\int_{D\setminus D_1} K_1\varphi_1\right| \le c$. Hence $u_{11}(x) \ge ch^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_2(h)$ and sufficiently small h. By Step 3 $|u_{11}(x)| \le ch^{-3/2}$ so $u_{11}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$. Since $u_{11}(x) + u_{22}(x) + u_{33}(x) = 0$ and by Step 1 $u_{22}(x) \approx -h^{-1/2}$ for $x \in S_2(h)$ we get $u_{33}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$.

Step 6. Estimates $|u_{13}(x)| \le ch^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_4(h)$, $u_{13}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_3(h)$, $u_{13}(x) \le -cx_3h^{-5/2}$ for $x \in S_4(h)$.

We have

$$u_{13}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)u_3(y_1, y_2, 0)\,dy_1\,dy_2,$$

$$K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) = 3C_K \frac{x_3(y_1 - x_1)}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}}.$$

For $y \in D$ we have $u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) = -1$ and for $y \in (\overline{D})^c$ by Corollary 3.12

$$u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) = -(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(y) \approx (1 + |y|^{-5/2})\delta_D^{-1/2}(y).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \{y \in B(0,h) :\, y_1 \le 0\},\\
A_2 &= \{y \in B(0,r)\setminus B(0,h) :\, y_1 < 0, |y_2| \le |y_1|\},\\
A_3 &= \{y \in B(0,r)\setminus B(0,h) :\, y_1 \le 0, |y_2| \ge |y_1|\},\\
A_4 &= \{y :\, y_2 \in [-h,h], y_1 \in (0, f(y_2))]\},\\
A_5 &= \{y :\, y_2 \in (h,r]\cup[-r,h), y_1 \in (0, f(y_2))]\},\\
A_6 &= D^c \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6 \subset D^c$. We also put $D_1 = B((0,h), h/2)$.

Let $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$. We have

$$\left|\int_{A_1} K_1u_3\right| \le ch^{-3}\int_{A_1} \delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le ch^{-3/2},$$

$$\int_{A_2} K_1u_3 \approx -x_3\int_{A_2} |y|^{-9/2}\,dy \approx -x_3h^{-5/2},$$

$$\left|\int_{A_3} K_1u_3\right| \le ch\int_{h/\sqrt{2}}^{r} dy_2 \int_{-y_2}^{0} dy_1\,|y_1|^{-1/2}y_2^{-4} \le ch^{-3/2}.$$

For $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$ and $y \in A_4$ we estimate $|y_1 - x_1| \le y_1 + h \le ch$, $f(y_2) \le cy_2^2$. Hence

$$\left| \int_{A_4} K_1 u_3 \right| \le c x_3 h^{-4} \int_{-h}^{h} dy_2 \int_0^{f(y_2)} dy_1 \, (-y_1 + f(y_2))^{-1/2} \le c x_3 h^{-2}.$$

For $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$ and $y \in A_5$ we estimate $|y_1 - x_1| \le y_1 + h \le c|y_2|$, $f(y_2) \le cy_2^2$. Hence

$$\left| \int_{A_5} K_1 u_3 \right| \le c x_3 \int_{h}^{r} dy_2 \int_0^{f(y_2)} dy_1 \, (-y_1 + f(y_2))^{-1/2} y_2^{-4} \le c x_3 h^{-2}.$$

We also have

$$\left| \int_{A_6} K_1 u_3 \right| \le c x_3 \int_{A_6} |y|^{-13/2} \delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \, dy \le c x_3.$$

For $x \in S_3(h)$ we have

$$\left| \int_{D_1} K_1 u_3 \right| = \left| \int_{D_1} K_1 \right| \le c x_3 h^{-4} \int_{D_1} dy \approx x_3 h^{-2}.$$

For $x \in S_4(h)$ we have

$$\left| \int_{D_1} K_1 u_3 \right| = c x_3 \int_{D_1} \frac{y_1 - h}{((y_1 - h)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}} \, dy_1 \, dy_2 = 0.$$

For $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$ we also have

$$\left| \int_{D \setminus D_1} K_1 u_3 \right| \le c x_3 \int_{D \setminus D_1} ((y_1 - h)^2 + y_2^2)^{-2} \, dy \le c x_3 h^{-2}.$$

It follows that for $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$

$$|u_{13}(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_1 u_3 \right| \le c h^{-3/2},$$

(for $x \in S_3(h)$ such estimate follows also from Step 3).

Now note that $K_1(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \le 0$ and $u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) \ge 0$ for $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$ and $y \in A_1 \cup A_3$. So $\int_{A_1 \cup A_3} K_1 u_3 \le 0$. It follows that for $x \in S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$ we have

$$u_{13}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_1 u_3 \le \int_{A_2 \cup A_4 \cup A_5 \cup A_6 \cup D} K_1 u_3 \le -c x_3 h^{-5/2} + c_1 x_3 h^{-2}.$$

Hence for $x \in S_3(h)$ and sufficiently small h we have $u_{13}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$. For $x \in S_4(h)$ and sufficiently small h we have $u_{13}(x) \le -c x_3 h^{-5/2}$.

Step 7. Estimates $u_{33}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$, $u_{11}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$ for $x \in S_4(h)$.

We have

$$u_{33}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) \, dy_1 \, dy_2,$$

$$K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) = C_K \frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 - 2x_3^2}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}}.$$

For $x \in S_4(h)$ and $y \in D^c$ we have $K_3(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) > 0$, $u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) \approx (1 + |y|^{-5/2}) \delta_D^{-1/2}(y)$. For $y \in D$ we have $u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) = -1$. Let $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, D_1$ be such as in Step 6. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{A_1 \cup A_4} K_3 u_3 \right| &\le \frac{c}{h^3} \int_{A_1 \cup A_4} \delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \, dy \\ &\le \frac{c}{h^3} \int_0^h dy_2 \int_{-h}^{f(y_2)} dy_1 \, (-y_1 + f(y_2))^{-1/2} \approx h^{-3/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{A_2} K_3 u_3 \approx \int_{A_2} |y|^{-7/2}\,dy \approx h^{-3/2},$$

$$\left|\int_{A_3\cup A_5} K_3 u_3\right| \le c\int_{h/\sqrt{2}}^{r} dy_2 \int_{-y_2}^{f(y_2)} dy_1\, \frac{(-y_1+f(y_2))^{-1/2}}{y_2^3} \approx h^{-3/2},$$

$$\left|\int_{A_6} K_3 u_3\right| \le c\int_{A_6} |y|^{-11/2}\delta_D^{-1/2}(y)\,dy \le c,$$

$$\left|\int_{D\setminus D_1} K_3 u_3\right| \le c\int_{D\setminus D_1} ((y_1-h)^2+y_2^2)^{-3/2}\,dy \le ch^{-1}.$$

The integral over D_1 we compute directly. Recall that $D_1 = B((h,0),h/2)$ and $x = (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in S_4(h)$ so $x_1 = h$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 \in (0,h/4]$. We have

$$\int_{D_1} K_3(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)u_3(y_1,y_2,0)\,dy_1\,dy_2 = C_K\int_{D_1} \frac{(h-y_1)^2+y_2^2-2x_3^2}{((h-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\,dy_1\,dy_2. \tag{34}$$

Let us introduce polar coordinates $h-y_1 = \rho\cos\theta$, $y_2 = \rho\sin\theta$. Then (34) equals $2\pi C_K\int_0^{h/2}\frac{\rho^2-2x_3^2}{(\rho^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\rho\,d\rho$. By substitution $t=\rho^2$ this is equal to $\pi C_K\int_0^{h^2/4}\frac{t-2x_3^2}{(t+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\,dt$. By elementary calculations this is equal to $\frac{-\pi C_K h^2}{2(h^2/4+x_3^2)^{3/2}}$. Hence $\left|\int_{D_1} K_3u_3\right| \le c/h$.

It follows that $|u_{33}(x)| \le ch^{-3/2}$. Since for $x\in S_4(h)$ and $y\in(\overline{D})^c$ we have $K_3(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)>0$ and $u_3(y_1,y_2,0)>0$ we get

$$u_{33}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_3u_3 \ge \int_{A_2\cup D} K_3u_3 \ge \int_{A_2} K_3u_3 - \left|\int_D K_3u_3\right| \ge ch^{-3/2} - c_1h^{-1}.$$

It follows that $u_{33}(x)\approx h^{-3/2}$ for $x\in S_4(h)$ and sufficiently small h. Since $u_{11}(x)+u_{22}(x)+u_{33}(x)=0$ and by Step 2 $u_{22}(x)\approx -h^{-1/2}$ for $x\in S_4(h)$ we get $u_{11}(x)\approx -h^{-3/2}$.

Step 8. Estimate $|u_{12}(x)| \le cx_3h^{-3/2}|\log h|$ for $x\in S_1(h)\cup S_2(h)\cup S_3(h)$.
We have

$$u_{12}(x) = \int_D K_{12}(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2, \tag{35}$$

$$K_{12}(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3) = -15C_K\frac{x_3(x_1-y_1)y_2}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}.$$

Let D_1,D_2,D_3,D_4,D_5 and D_{i+}, D_{i-} for $i=1,2,3,4$ be such as in Step 1. We have

$$\int_{D_1\cup D_2} K_{12}\varphi = -cx_3\int_{D_{1+}\cup D_{2+}} \frac{(x_1-y_1)y_2}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}(\varphi(y_1,y_2)-\varphi(y_1,-y_2))\,dy_1\,dy_2.$$

For $y\in D_{1+}\cup D_{2+}$ by Lemma 3.10 we get $|\varphi(y_1,y_2)-\varphi(y_1,-y_2)| = |2y_2\varphi_2(y_1,\xi)| \le cy_2(y_2y_1^{-1/2}+y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|)$, where $\xi\in(-y_2,y_2)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{D_1} K_{12}\varphi\right| &\le cx_3\int_{D_{1+}} \frac{|x_1-y_1|}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}(y_2^3y_1^{-1/2}+y_2^2y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|)\,dy_1\,dy_2\\
&\le cx_3h^{-6}\int_0^h dy_1\int_0^h dy_2(y_2^3y_1^{-1/2}+y_2^2y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|)\\
&+ cx_3h\int_0^h dy_1\int_h^{c_1y_1^{1/2}} dy_2(y_2^{-4}y_1^{-1/2}+y_2^{-5}y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|)\\
&\le cx_3h^{-3/2}|\log h|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in D_2$ we have $|x_1 - y_1| \le cy_1$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{D_2} K_{12}\varphi\right| &\le cx_3 \int_{D_{2+}} \frac{|x_1-y_1|}{((x_1-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}(y_2^3 y_1^{-1/2} + y_2^2 y_1^{1/2}|\log y_1|)\,dy_1\,dy_2 \\ &\le cx_3 \int_h^r dy_1 \int_0^{y_1} dy_2 (y_2^3 y_1^{-13/2} + y_2^2 y_1^{-11/2}|\log y_1|) \\ &+ cx_3 \int_h^r dy_1 \int_{y_1}^r dy_2 (y_2^{-4} y_1^{1/2} + y_2^{-5} y_1^{3/2}|\log y_1|) \\ &\le cx_3 h^{-3/2}|\log h|.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in D_3 \cup D_4$ we have $\varphi(y) \le c\delta_D^{1/2}(y) \le cy_2$. Note also that $|x_1 - y_1| \le 2h$ for $y \in D_3$ and $|x_1 - y_1| \le h + y_1$ for $y \in D_4$. We get

$$\left|\int_{D_3} K_{12}\varphi\right| \le cx_3 h^{-5} \int_0^h dy_2 \int_0^{f_1(h)} dy_1 y_2 \le cx_3 h^{-1},$$

$$\left|\int_{D_{4+}} K_{12}\varphi\right| \le cx_3 \int_h^r dy_2 \int_0^{c_1 y_2^2} dy_1 (h+y_1) y_2^{-5} \le cx_3 h^{-1}.$$

The estimate of $\left|\int_{D_{4-}} K_{12}\varphi\right|$ is the same so $\left|\int_{D_4} K_{12}\varphi\right| \le cx_3 h^{-1}$. Note that for $y \in D_5$ we have $|x_1 - y_1| \le cy_1$ and $\varphi(y) \le c$. Hence

$$\left|\int_{D_5} K_{12}\varphi\right| \le cx_3 \int_{B^c(0,c_1 r^2)} \frac{y_1|y_2|}{(y_1^2+y_2^2)^{7/2}}\,dy_1\,dy_2 \le cx_3.$$

Step 9. Estimate $|u_{12}(x)| \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h|$ for $x \in S_4(h)$.
We have

$$u_{12}(x) = \int_D K_{12}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2.$$

Put $A = B((h,0), h/2)$. By the same argument as in Step 8 we obtain $\left|\int_{D\setminus A} K_{12}\varphi\right| \le cx_3 h^{-3/2}|\log h|$. We have

$$\left|\int_A K_{12}\varphi\right| = \left|cx_3 \int_A \frac{(y_1-h)y_2}{((y_1-h)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}\varphi(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2\right|.$$

By substitution $z_1 = y_1 - h$, $z_2 = y_2$ this is equal to

$$\left|cx_3 \int_{B(0,h/2)} \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1^2+z_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}\varphi(z_1+h, z_2)\,dz_1\,dz_2\right| = \left|cx_3 \int_W \frac{z_1 z_2 g(z_1,z_2)}{(z_1^2+z_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}\,dz_1\,dz_2\right|, \tag{36}$$

where $g(z_1,z_2) = \varphi(z_1+h,z_2) - \varphi(-z_1+h,z_2) - \varphi(z_1+h,-z_2) + \varphi(-z_1+h,-z_2)$ and $W = \{z \in B(0,h/2) : z_1 \ge 0, z_2 \ge 0\}$. Note that for $z \in W$ we have $g(z_1,z_2) = 4z_1 z_2 \varphi_{12}(\xi_1 + h, \xi_2)$, where $\xi_1 \in (-z_1, z_1)$, $\xi_2 \in (-z_2, z_2)$. By Lemma 3.10 we have for $z \in W$ and ξ_1, ξ_2 as above

$$|\varphi_{12}(\xi_1+h,\xi_2)| \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h| + cz_2 h^{-3/2}.$$

It follows that (36) is bounded from above by

$$cx_3 \int_W \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2 (h^{-1/2}|\log h| + z_2 h^{-3/2})}{(z_1^2+z_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}\,dz_1\,dz_2. \tag{37}$$

Put $W_1 = \{z : z_1 \in [0, x_3], z_2 \in [0, x_3]\}$, $W_2 = \{z \in B(0, h/2) \setminus B(0, x_3) : z_1 \geq 0,\ z_2 \geq 0\}$. We have $W \subset W_1 \cup W_2$. (37) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & cx_3 \int_{W_1} \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2 (h^{-1/2}|\log h| + z_2 h^{-3/2})}{x_3^7}\, dz_1\, dz_2 \\ + \quad & cx_3 \int_{W_2} \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2 (h^{-1/2}|\log h| + z_2 h^{-3/2})}{(z_1^2 + z_2^2)^{7/2}}\, dz_1\, dz_2 \\ \leq \quad & ch^{-1/2}|\log h|. \end{aligned}$$

Step 10. Estimate $|u_{23}(x)| \leq ch^{-1/2}|\log h|$ for $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$ and $|u_{23}(x)| \leq ch^{-3/4}|\log h|$ for $x \in S_4(h)$.

For $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$ we have

$$u_{23}(x) = \int_D K_{23}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2.$$

The proof of the estimate $\left|\int_D K_{23}\varphi\right| \leq ch^{-1/2}|\log h|$ is very similar to the proof of the estimate $\left|\int_D K_{12}\varphi\right| \leq cx_3 h^{-3/2}|\log h|$ in Step 8 and it is omitted.

Now we estimate $|u_{23}(x)|$ for $x \in S_4(h)$. Put $p = (-r, 0)$, recall that $z = (r, 0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{23}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) u_3(y_1, y_2, 0)\, dy_1\, dy_2 \\ &= \int_{B(0,r/4)\cap B(p,r)} K_2 u_3 + \int_{(D\cap B(0,r/4))\setminus(B(p,r)\cup B(z,r))} K_2 u_3 \\ &+ \int_{(D^c\cap B(0,r/4))\setminus(B(p,r)\cup B(z,r))} K_2 u_3 + \int_{B(0,r/4)\cap B(z,r)} K_2 u_3 \\ &+ \int_{B^c(0,r/4)} K_2 u_3 = \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III} + \mathrm{IV} + \mathrm{V}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u_3(y_1, y_2, 0) = -(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(y_1, y_2)$ for $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \partial D$.

Put $A = B(0, r/4) \cap B(p, r)$. For $y \in A$ by Corollary 3.12 we get $|(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(y)| \leq c\delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \leq c|y_1|^{-1/2}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{I}| &\leq cx_3 \int_A \frac{y_2 |y_1|^{-1/2}}{((h - y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{5/2}}\, dy_1\, dy_2 \\ &\leq cx_3 \int_0^h dy_2 \int_{-r/4}^{-f_1(y_2)} dy_1\, \frac{y_2|y_1|^{-1/2}}{h^5} + cx_3 \int_h^{r/4} dy_2 \int_{-r/2}^{-f_1(y_2)} dy_1\, \frac{y_2|y_1|^{-1/2}}{y_2^5} \\ &\leq cx_3 h^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$|\mathrm{II}| \leq cx_3 \int_0^h dy_2 \int_0^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1\, y_2 h^{-5} + cx_3 \int_h^{r/2} dy_2 \int_0^{f_1(y_2)} dy_1\, y_2 y_2^{-5} \leq cx_3 h^{-1}.$$

For $y \in (D^c \cap B(0, r/4)) \setminus (B(p, r) \cup B(z, r))$ by Corollary 3.12 we get $|(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(y)| \leq c\delta_D^{-1/2}(y) \approx (f(y_2) - y_1)^{-1/2}$. Hence

$$|\mathrm{III}| \leq cx_3 \int_0^{r/4} dy_2 \int_{-f_1(y_2)}^{f(y_2)} dy_1\, (f(y_2) - y_1)^{-1/2} \frac{y_2}{h^5 \vee y_2^5}.$$

For $y_2 \in (0, r/4)$ we have

$$\int_{-f_1(y_2)}^{f(y_2)} (f(y_2) - y_1)^{-1/2}\, dy_1 = \int_0^{f_1(y_2)+f(y_2)} z^{-1/2}\, dz \leq cy_2.$$

It follows that

$$|\mathrm{III}| \le cx_3 \int_0^h \frac{y_2^2}{h^5}\,dy_2 + cx_3 \int_h^{r/4} \frac{y_2^2}{y_2^5}\,dy_2 \le \frac{cx_3}{h^2}.$$

Clearly

$$\mathrm{IV} = \int_{B(0,r/4)\cap B(z,r)} \frac{-cx_3y_2}{((h-y_1)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{5/2}}\,dy_1\,dy_2 = 0.$$

Using Corollary 3.12 we get

$$|\mathrm{V}| \le cx_3 \int_D dy + cx_3 \int_{D^c} \frac{\delta_D(y)^{-1/2}}{(1+|y|)^{5/2}}\,dy \le cx_3.$$

It follows that for $x \in S_4(h)$ we have

$$|u_{23}(x)| \le |\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}+\mathrm{III}+\mathrm{IV}+\mathrm{V}| \le \frac{cx_3}{h^3}. \tag{38}$$

On the other hand we have for $x \in S_4(h)$

$$u_{23}(x) = \int_D K_{23}(x_1-y_1,-y_2,x_3)\varphi(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2.$$

Put $W = B((h,0),h/2)$, $W_+ = \{y \in W : y_2 > 0\}$. For $x \in S_4(h)$ one may show $\left|\int_{D\setminus W} K_{23}\varphi\right| \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h|$. The proof of this inequality is omitted. It is very similar to the proof of the estimate $\left|\int_{D\setminus W} K_{12}\varphi\right| \le cx_3h^{-3/2}|\log h|$ see Step 9 and Step 8.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_W K_{23}\varphi &= -c\int_W \frac{12x_3^2-3(y_1-h)^2-3y_2^2}{((y_1-h)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}} y_2\varphi(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2 \\ &= -c\int_{W_+} \frac{12x_3^2-3(y_1-h)^2-3y_2^2}{((y_1-h)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}} y_2(\varphi(y_1,y_2)-\varphi(y_1,-y_2))\,dy_1\,dy_2. \end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

For $y \in W_+$ we have $\varphi(y_1,y_2)-\varphi(y_1,-y_2) = 2y_2\varphi_2(y_1,\xi_2)$ where $\xi_2 \in (-y_2,y_2)$ and $\varphi_2(y_1,\xi_2) = \varphi_2(h,0)+(y_1-h,\xi_2)\circ\nabla\varphi_2(\xi')$, where ξ' is a point between $(h,0)$ and (y_1,ξ_2). It follows that (39) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &- c\varphi_2(h,0)\int_{W_+} \frac{12x_3^2-3(y_1-h)^2-3y_2^2}{((y_1-h)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}} 2y_2^2\,dy_1\,dy_2 \\ &- c\int_{W_+} \frac{12x_3^2-3(y_1-h)^2-3y_2^2}{((y_1-h)^2+y_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}} 2y_2^2(y_1-h,\xi_2)\circ\nabla\varphi_2(\xi')\,dy_1\,dy_2 = \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $V = B(0,h/2)$, $V_+ = \{z \in V : z_2 > 0\}$. By substitution $z_1 = y_1-h$, $z_2 = y_2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I} &= -c\varphi_2(h,0)\int_{V_+} \frac{12x_3^2-3z_1^2-3z_2^2}{(z_1^2+z_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}} 2z_2^2\,dy_1\,dy_2 \\ &= -c\varphi_2(h,0)\int_V \frac{12x_3^2-3z_1^2-3z_2^2}{(z_1^2+z_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}} z_2^2\,dy_1\,dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry of z_1, z_2 the above integral equals

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_V \frac{12x_3^2-3z_1^2-3z_2^2}{(z_1^2+z_2^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}(z_1^2+z_2^2)\,dy_1\,dy_2.$$

Let us introduce polar coordinates $z_1 = \rho\cos\theta$, $z_2 = \rho\sin\theta$. Then the above expression equals $\pi\int_0^{h/2} \frac{12x_3^2-3\rho^2}{(\rho^2+x_3^2)^{7/2}}\rho^3\,d\rho$. By elementary calculation this is equal to $(3\pi/16)h^4(x_3^2+h^2/4)^{-5/2}$. By Lemma 3.2 $\varphi_2(h,0) \le ch^{1/2}|\log h|$. Hence $|\mathrm{I}| \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h|$.

Now we estimate II. For $y \in W_+$ and ξ_2, ξ' as above we have

$$(y_1 - h, \xi_2) \circ \nabla\varphi_2(\xi') = (y_1 - h)\varphi_{12}(\xi') + \xi_2\varphi_{22}(\xi'). \tag{40}$$

For any $w \in W$ by Lemma 3.10 we get $|\varphi_{12}(w)| \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h|$, $|\varphi_{22}(w)| \le ch^{-1/2}$ so (40) is bounded from above by $c|y_1 - h|h^{-1/2}|\log h| + c|y_2|h^{-1/2}$. Put $B_+((h,0),x_3) = \{y \in B((h,0),x_3) : y_2 > 0\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{II}| &\le \frac{c}{x_3^5}\int_{B_+((h,0),x_3)} |y-(h,0)|^3 h^{-1/2}|\log h|\,dy \\ &+ c\int_{W_+\setminus B_+((h,0),x_3)} |y-(h,0)|^{-2}h^{-1/2}|\log h|\,dy \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h||\log x_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $x \in S_4(h)$ we have

$$|u_{23}(x)| \le \left|\int_{D\setminus W} K_{23}\varphi\right| + |\mathrm{I}| + |\mathrm{II}| \le ch^{-1/2}|\log h||\log x_3|. \tag{41}$$

For any $\beta > 0$ and $x \in S_4(h)$ we get by (38) $|u_{23}(x)|^\beta \le c_1^\beta x_3^\beta h^{-3\beta}$. Using this and (41) we get $|u_{23}(x)|^{1+\beta} \le cc_1^\beta x_3^\beta|\log x_3|h^{-3\beta-1/2}|\log h|$. Putting $\beta = 1/9$ we obtain $|u_{23}(x)| \le ch^{-3/4}|\log h|^{9/10} \le ch^{-3/4}|\log h|$. □

Lemma 4.2. *For any $(x_1,x_2) \in D$ we have $u_{13}(x_1,x_2,0) = u_{23}(x_1,x_2,0) = 0$ and $u_{33}(x_1,x_2,0) > 0$.*

Proof. The equalities $u_{13}(x_1,x_2,0) = u_{23}(x_1,x_2,0) = 0$ for $(x_1,x_2) \in D$ follows easily from (8). For $(x_1,x_2) \in \mathrm{int}(D^c)$ we have

$$u_3(x_1,x_2,0) = -(-\Delta)^{1/2}\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_D \frac{\varphi(y)}{|y-x|^3}\,dy > 0.$$

By Corollary 3.12 we have $f(x_1,x_2) = u_3(x_1,x_2,0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By the normal derivative lemma ([15, Lemma 2.33]) we get $u_{33}(x_1,x_2,0) > 0$ for $(x_1,x_2) \in D$. □

5. Harmonic extension for a ball

The aim of this section is to show the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let φ be the solution of (1-2) for the ball $B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and u be the harmonic extension of φ given by (6-10). We have*

$$H(u)(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{B^c(0,1) \times \{0\}\}. \tag{42}$$

Let us recall that $H(u)(x)$ is the determinant of the Hessian matrix of u in x. Recall also that the solution of (1-2) for the ball $B(0,1)$ is given by an explicit formula $\varphi(x) = C_B(1-|x|)^{1/2}$, $C_B = 2/\pi$. Hence for $x = (x_1,x_2,x_3)$, where $x_3 > 0$ the function u is given by an explicit formula $u(x) = \int_{B(0,1)} K(x_1-y_1, x_2-y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2$. Applying this it is easy to check numerically that (42) holds (e.g. using Mathematica). Unfortunately, it seems very hard to prove formally (42) using directly the explicit formula for u.

Instead, to show (42) we use a "trick": we add an auxiliary function w to the function u and we use H. Lewy's Theorem 1.6. First, we briefly present the idea of the proof. We define

$$\Psi^{(b)}(x) = (1-b)u(x) + bw(x), \quad b \in [0,1],$$

where w is an appropriately chosen auxiliary function given by

$$w(x) = K(x_1,x_2,x_3+\sqrt{3/2}). \tag{43}$$

Note that for any $q \ge 0$ the set $\{(x_1,x_2,x_3) : K_{33}(x_1,x_2,x_3+q) = 0, x_3 > -q\} = \{(x_1,x_2,x_3) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 = (2/3)(x_3+q)^2, x_3 > -q\}$. The function w is chosen so that $w_{33}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial B(0,1) \times \{0\}$ i.e. for $x = (x_1,x_2,0)$ where $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$. Such a choice

helps to control $H(\Psi^{(b)})(x)$ near $\partial B(0,1)\times\{0\}$. One can directly check that $\Psi^{(1)}=w$ satisfies $H(\Psi^{(1)})(x)>0$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_+\cup B(0,1)\times\{0\}$ (recall that $\mathbb{R}^3_+=\{(x_1,x_2,x_3):\,x_3>0\}$). If $\Psi^{(0)}=u$ does not satisfy $H(\Psi^{(0)})(x)>0$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_+\cup B(0,1)\times\{0\}$ one can show that there exists $b\in[0,1)$ for which $H(\Psi^{(b)})(x)\geq 0$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_+\cup B(0,1)\times\{0\}$ and such that there exists $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3_+$ for which $H(\Psi^{(b)})(x_0)=0$. This gives contradiction with Theorem 1.6. If $\Psi^{(0)}=u$ does not satisfy $H(\Psi^{(0)})(x)>0$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_-$ one can use Lemma 2.5 and again obtain contradiction. This finishes the presentation of the idea of the proof.

Lemma 5.2. *Let w be given by (43) and $v=u+aw$, $a\geq 0$. There exists $M_1\geq 10$ and $h_1\in(0,1/2]$ such that for any $a\geq 0$ we have*

$$H(v)(x)>0,\qquad x\in A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3\cup A_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \{(x_1,x_2,x_3):\,x_1^2+x_2^2\in[(1-h_1)^2,(1+h_1)^2],x_3\in(0,h_1]\},\\
A_2 &= \{(x_1,x_2,x_3):\,x_1^2+x_2^2\in[(1+h_1)^2,M_1^2],x_3\in(0,h_1]\},\\
A_3 &= \{(x_1,x_2,0):\,x_1^2+x_2^2<1\},\\
A_4 &= \{(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3_+:\,x_1^2+x_2^2\geq M_1^2\ \ or\ \ x_3\geq M_1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First note that for any fixed $x_3>0$ the function $(x_1,x_2)\to v(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ is radial so it is enough to show the assertion for $x\in(A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3\cup A_4)\cap L$, where $L=\{(x_1,x_2,x_3):\,x_2=0,x_1\leq 0\}$. Put $A_i'=A_i\cap L$, $i=1,2,3,4$. For $x\in A_1'\cup A_2'\cup A_3'\cup A_4'$ we have $v_{12}(x)=v_{23}(x)=0$ and $v_{22}(x)<0$. Hence $H(v)(x)=v_{22}(x)f(a,x)$, where

$$f(a,x)=\begin{vmatrix} v_{11} & v_{13}\\ v_{13} & v_{33}\end{vmatrix}=\begin{vmatrix} u_{11}+aw_{11} & u_{13}+aw_{13}\\ u_{13}+aw_{13} & u_{33}+aw_{33}\end{vmatrix}\tag{44}$$

and it is enough to show $f(a,x)<0$ for $x\in A_1'\cup A_2'\cup A_3'\cup A_4'$.

We will consider 4 cases: $x\in A_1'$, $x\in A_2'$, $x\in A_3'$, $x\in A_4'$.

Case 1. $x\in A_1'$.

Put $q_0=\sqrt{3/2}$ and $z_0=(-1,0,0)$. Note that $w_{33}(z_0)=0$, $w_{11}(z_0)=C_Kq_0(12-3q_0^2)(1+q_0^2)^{-7/2}\approx 9.185C_K(1+q_0^2)^{-7/2}$, $w_{13}(z_0)=-C_K(12q_0^2-3)(1+q_0^2)^{-7/2}=-15C_K(1+q_0^2)^{-7/2}$. Let us denote $w_{11}(x)=p_1(x)$, $w_{13}(x)=p_2(x)$. It is clear that for sufficiently small h_1 and $x\in A_1'$ we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{9}{10}}|p_2(x)|>|p_1(x)|.\tag{45}$$

Let h_0 be the constant from Proposition 4.1. For any $h\in(0,h_0]$ put

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(h) &= \{(-1+h,0,x_3):\,x_3\in(0,h/4]\},\\
T_2(h) &= \{(-1+h,0,x_3):\,x_3\in(h/4,h]\}\cup\{(x_1,0,h):\,x_1\in[-1,-1+h)\},\\
T_3(h) &= \{(x_1,0,h):\,x_1\in[-\sqrt{2/3}h-1,-1]\},\\
T_4(h) &= \{(x_1,0,h):\,x_1\in[-1-h,-\sqrt{2/3}h-1)\}\cup\{(-1-h,0,x_3):\,x_3\in(0,h)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the value $-\sqrt{2/3}h-1$ in the definition of $T_3(h)$, $T_4(h)$ is chosen so that $w_{33}(-\sqrt{2/3}h-1,0,h)=0$. Note also that $w_{33}(x)\geq 0$ for $x\in T_1(h)\cup T_2(h)\cup T_3(h)$ and $w_{33}(x)<0$ for $x\in T_4(h)$.

We will consider 4 subcases: $x\in T_1(h)$, $x\in T_2(h)$, $x\in T_3(h)$, $x\in T_4(h)$.

Subcase 1a. $x\in T_1(h)$.

By (44), Proposition 4.1 and definition of w we have

$$f(a,x)=\begin{vmatrix} -b_1(x)h^{-3/2}+p_1(x)a & -b_2(x)h^{-3/2}-p_2(x)a\\ -b_2(x)h^{-3/2}-p_2(x)a & \varepsilon(x)a+b_1(x)h^{-3/2}+b_3(x)h^{-1/2}\end{vmatrix},$$

where $0 < B_1' \le b_1(x) \le B_1$, $0 \le b_2(x) \le B_2$, $0 < B_3' \le b_3(x) \le B_3$, $0 < P_1' \le p_1(x) \le P_1$, $0 < P_2' \le p_2(x) \le P_2$, $0 \le \varepsilon(x) \le E(h) \le E(h_0)$, $\lim_{h\to 0^+} E(h) = 0$. More precisely, estimates of $b_1(x)$, $b_2(x)$ follow from estimates of $u_{11}(x)$, $u_{13}(x)$ for $S_4(h)$ in Proposition 4.1, estimates of $b_3(x)$ follow from $u_{33}(x) = -u_{11}(x) - u_{22}(x)$ and estimates of $u_{11}(x)$, $u_{22}(x)$ for $S_4(h)$ in Proposition 4.1. Estimates of $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)$ follow from formulas of $w_{11}(z_0)$, $w_{13}(z_0)$ and continuity of $w_{11}(x)$, $w_{13}(x)$ near z_0. Estimates of $\varepsilon(x)$ and $\lim_{h\to 0^+} E(h) = 0$ follow from equality $w_{33}(z_0) = 0$ and continuity of $w_{33}(x)$ near z_0.

Hence

$$f(a,x) = -\varepsilon(x)b_1(x)ah^{-3/2} - b_1^2(x)h^{-3} - b_1(x)b_3(x)h^{-2} + \varepsilon(x)p_1(x)a^2$$
$$+b_1(x)p_1(x)ah^{-3/2} + p_1(x)b_3(x)ah^{-1/2} - b_2^2(x)h^{-3} - p_2^2(x)a^2 - 2b_2(x)p_2(x)ah^{-3/2}.$$

Note that for sufficiently small h we have

$$p_1(x)b_3(x)ah^{-1/2} < p_1(x)b_1(x)ah^{-3/2}.$$

For sufficiently small h, using this and (45) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(9/10)p_2^2(x)a^2 + b_1^2(x)h^{-3} &> p_1^2(x)a^2 + b_1^2(x)h^{-3}\\ &\ge 2b_1(x)p_1(x)ah^{-3/2}\\ &> b_1(x)p_1(x)ah^{-3/2} + b_3(x)p_1(x)ah^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently small h we also have $p_1(x)\varepsilon(x)a^2 < (1/10)p_2^2(x)a^2$. It follows that for sufficiently small $h_1 > 0$ and for all $0 < h \le h_1$, $a \ge 0$, $x \in T_1(h)$ we have $f(a,x) < 0$.

Subcase 1b. $x \in T_2(h)$.

By (44), Proposition 4.1 and definition of w we have

$$f(a,x) = \begin{vmatrix} b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + p_1(x)a & -b_2(x)h^{-3/2} - p_2(x)a \\ -b_2(x)h^{-3/2} - p_2(x)a & \varepsilon(x)a - b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + b_3(x)h^{-1/2} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $-B_1 \le b_1(x) \le B_1$, $0 < B_2' \le b_2(x) \le B_2$, $0 < B_3' \le b_3(x) \le B_3$, $0 < P_1' \le p_1(x) \le P_1$, $0 < P_2' \le p_2(x) \le P_2$, $0 \le \varepsilon(x) \le E(h) \le E(h_0)$, $\lim_{h\to 0^+} E(h) = 0$. More precisely, estimates of $b_1(x)$, $b_2(x)$ follow from estimates of $u_{11}(x)$, $u_{13}(x)$ for $S_3(h)$ in Proposition 4.1, estimates of $b_3(x)$ follow from $u_{33}(x) = -u_{11}(x) - u_{22}(x)$ and estimates of $u_{11}(x)$, $u_{22}(x)$ for $S_3(h)$ in Proposition 4.1. Estimates of $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)$, $\varepsilon(x)$ and $\lim_{h\to 0^+} E(h) = 0$ follow by the same arguments as in Subcase 1a. Hence

$$f(a,x) = \varepsilon(x)b_1(x)ah^{-3/2} - b_1^2(x)h^{-3} + b_1(x)b_3(x)h^{-2} + \varepsilon(x)p_1(x)a^2$$
$$-b_1(x)p_1(x)ah^{-3/2} + p_1(x)b_3(x)ah^{-1/2} - b_2^2(x)h^{-3} - p_2^2(x)a^2 - 2b_2(x)p_2(x)ah^{-3/2}.$$

Let us first assume that $b_1(x) \ge 0$. Then for sufficiently small h we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(x)b_1(x)ah^{-3/2} &< b_2(x)p_2(x)ah^{-3/2},\\ p_1(x)b_3(x)ah^{-1/2} &< b_2(x)p_2(x)ah^{-3/2},\\ b_1(x)b_3(x)h^{-2} &< b_2^2(x)h^{-3},\\ \varepsilon(x)p_1(x)a^2 &< p_2^2(x)a^2,\end{aligned}$$

which implies $f(a,x) < 0$.

Now let us assume that $b_1(x) < 0$. By (45) for sufficiently small h we get

$$(9/10)p_2^2(x)a^2 + b_1^2(x)h^{-3} > p_1^2(x)a^2 + b_1^2(x)h^{-3} \ge |2b_1(x)p_1(x)ah^{-3/2}|,$$
$$p_1(x)\varepsilon(x)a^2 < (1/10)p_2^2(x)a^2,$$
$$p_1(x)b_3(x)ah^{-1/2} < 2b_2(x)p_2(x)ah^{-3/2},$$

which implies $f(a,x) < 0$.

It follows that for sufficiently small $h_1 > 0$ and for all $0 < h \le h_1$, $a \ge 0$, $x \in T_2(h)$ we have $f(a,x) < 0$.

Subcase 1c. $x \in T_3(h)$.

By (44), Proposition 4.1 and definition of w we have

$$f(a,x) = \begin{vmatrix} b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + p_1(x)a & -b_2(x)h^{-3/2} - p_2(x)a \\ -b_2(x)h^{-3/2} - p_2(x)a & \varepsilon(x)a - b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + b_3(x)h^{-1/2} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $0 < B_1' \le b_1(x) \le B_1$, $-B_2 \le b_2(x) \le B_2$, $0 < B_3' \le b_3(x) \le B_3$, $0 < P_1' \le p_1(x) \le P_1$, $0 < P_2' \le p_2(x) \le P_2$, $0 \le \varepsilon(x) \le E(h) \le E(h_0)$, $\lim_{h\to 0^+} E(h) = 0$. More precisely, estimates of $b_1(x)$, $b_2(x)$ follow from estimates of $u_{11}(x)$, $u_{13}(x)$ for $S_2(h)$ in Proposition 4.1, estimates of $b_3(x)$ follow from $u_{33}(x) = -u_{11}(x) - u_{22}(x)$ and estimates of $u_{11}(x)$, $u_{22}(x)$ for $S_2(h)$ in Proposition 4.1. Estimates of $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)$, $\varepsilon(x)$ and $\lim_{h\to 0^+} E(h) = 0$ follow by the same arguments as in Subcase 1a.

For sufficiently small h we have

$$b_3(x)h^{-1/2} < b_1(x)h^{-3/2}/2, \tag{46}$$

$$\frac{2B_2}{B_1'}\varepsilon(x) < \frac{P_2'}{2} \tag{47}$$

$$\varepsilon(x)(p_1(x) + 2\varepsilon(x)) < \frac{p_2^2(x)}{4}. \tag{48}$$

If $\varepsilon(x)a - b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + b_3(x)h^{-1/2} < 0$ then clearly $f(a,x) < 0$. So we may assume $\varepsilon(x)a - b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + b_3(x)h^{-1/2} \ge 0$ which implies (see (46))

$$\varepsilon(x)a \ge b_1(x)h^{-3/2} - b_3(x)h^{-1/2} > (b_1(x)h^{-3/2})/2, \tag{49}$$

$$\varepsilon(x)a > \varepsilon(x)a - b_1(x)h^{-3/2} + b_3(x)h^{-1/2} \ge 0. \tag{50}$$

By (47) and (49) we get

$$|b_2(x)|h^{-3/2} = \frac{2|b_2(x)|}{b_1(x)}\frac{b_1(x)h^{-3/2}}{2} < \frac{2B_2}{B_1'}\varepsilon(x)a < \frac{P_2'a}{2} < \frac{p_2(x)a}{2}. \tag{51}$$

By (49), (50), (51), (48) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(a,x) &\le (p_1(x)a + b_1(x)h^{-3/2})\varepsilon(x)a - \left(\frac{p_2(x)a}{2}\right)^2 \\ &\le (p_1(x)a + 2\varepsilon(x)a)\varepsilon(x)a - \frac{p_2^2(x)a^2}{4} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for sufficiently small $h_1 > 0$ and for all $0 < h \le h_1$, $a \ge 0$, $x \in T_3(h)$ we have $f(a,x) < 0$.

Subcase 1d. $x \in T_4(h)$.

Note that for $x = (x_1, 0, x_3) \in T_4(h)$ we have $w_{33}(x) < 0$. We also have

$$u_{33}(x) = \int_{B(0,1)} K_{33}(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2.$$

Recall that $K_{33}(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3) = C_K x_3((x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}(6x_3^2 - 9(x_1 - y_1)^2 - 9(x_2 - y_2)^2)$. Hence to have $K_{33}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) < 0$ for all $(y_1, y_2) \in B(0,1)$ and $x_1 \le -1$ it is sufficient to have $6x_3^2 - 9(x_1 + 1)^2 < 0$. Note that for $x = (x_1, 0, x_3) \in T_4(h)$ we have $0 < x_3 < -\sqrt{3/2}(x_1 + 1)$, $x_1 < -1$. It follows that $6x_3^2 - 9(x_1 + 1)^2 < 0$ and $u_{33}(x) < 0$. Hence $u_{33}(x) + aw_{33}(x) < 0$. Note that $u_{22}(x) + aw_{22}(x) < 0$ so $u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) = -u_{22}(x) - aw_{22}(x) - u_{33}(x) - aw_{33}(x) > 0$. This and (44) implies that $f(a,x) < 0$ for any $a \ge 0$ and $x \in T_4(h)$.

Case 2. $x \in A_2'$.

This case follows from the same arguments as in subcase 1d.

Case 3. $x \in A_3'$.

Note that $w_{33}(x) > 0$ for $x \in A_3'$. Put $\overline{x}_3 = x_3 + \sqrt{3/2}$. We have

$$w_{11}(x) = C_K \overline{x}_3 (x_1^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{-7/2}(12x_1^2 - 3\overline{x}_3^2).$$

Note that

$$\{(x_1, 0, x_3) : w_{11}(x_1, 0, x_3) = 0, x_1 \le 0, x_3 > -\sqrt{3/2}\} = \{(x_1, 0, x_3) : x_3 + \sqrt{3/2} = -2x_1\}.$$

Put $T_1 = \left\{(x_1, 0, 0) : x_1 \in \left[\frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}, 0\right]\right\}$, $T_2 = \left\{(x_1, 0, 0) : x_1 \in \left(-1, \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)\right\}$. We have $A_2' = T_1 \cup T_2$. Note that $w_{11}(-\sqrt{3}/(2\sqrt{2}), 0, 0)) = 0$, $w_{11}(x) \le 0$ for $x \in T_1$ and $w_{11}(x) > 0$ for $x \in T_2$. Note also that for $x = (x_1, 0, 0) \in A_3'$ we have $u(x) = \varphi(x_1, 0) = C_B(1 - x_1^2)^{1/2}$ so $u_{11}(x) < 0$.

We will consider 2 subcases: $x \in T_1$, $x \in T_2$.

Subcase 3a. $x \in T_1$.

Note that $w_{11}(x) \le 0$, $u_{11}(x) < 0$ so $u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) < 0$ for $a \ge 0$. It follows that $u_{33}(x) + aw_{33}(x) > 0$ (because $u_{33} + aw_{33} = -(u_{11} + aw_{11} + u_{22} + aw_{22})$). Hence $f(a, x) < 0$.

Subcase 3b. $x \in T_2$.

For $(y_1, y_2) \in B(0, 1)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, 0)$ we have $u(y) = \varphi(y_1, y_2) = C_B(1 - y_1^2 - y_2^2)^{1/2}$. Therefore for $x \in T_2$ we obtain $u_{11}(x) = \varphi_{11}(x_1, 0) = -C_B(1 - x_1^2)^{-3/2}$, $u_{33}(x) = -\varphi_{11}(x_1, 0) - \varphi_{22}(x_1, 0) = C_B(1 - x_1^2)^{-3/2}(2 - x_1^2)$. Hence

$$u_{33}(x) < 2|u_{11}(x)|. \tag{52}$$

For $x \in T_2$ we also have $-w_{22}(x) - w_{11}(x) = w_{33}(x) > 0$ so

$$|w_{22}(x)| > |w_{11}(x)|. \tag{53}$$

Note that for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, 0, 0) \in T_2$ we have $\frac{\overline{x}_3}{|x_1|} = \frac{\sqrt{3/2}}{|x_1|}$ and $\frac{\overline{x}_3}{|x_1|} \in \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, 2\right)$.

For $x \in T_2$ we have

$$\frac{|w_{13}(x)|}{|w_{22}(x)|} = \frac{|x_1|}{\overline{x}_3}\frac{(12\overline{x}_3^2 - 3x_1^2)}{(3x_1^2 + 3\overline{x}_3^2)} = \frac{|x_1|}{\overline{x}_3}\left(4 - \frac{5}{\left(\frac{\overline{x}_3}{|x_1|}\right)^2 + 1}\right) > \frac{2|x_1|}{\overline{x}_3} > 1,$$

so

$$|w_{13}(x)| > |w_{22}(x)|. \tag{54}$$

If $a = 0$ then by explicit formulas $f(a, x) < 0$. If $a > 0$ and $u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) \le 0$ then $u_{33}(x) + aw_{33}(x) = -(u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) + u_{22}(x) + aw_{22}(x)) > 0$ and $u_{13}(x) + aw_{13}(x) = aw_{13}(x) \ne 0$ (see (54)) so $f(a, x) < 0$. So we may assume $a > 0$ and $u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) > 0$.

Again by (44) and (52), (54) we get

$$f(a, x) < \begin{vmatrix} u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) & a|w_{22}(x)| \\ a|w_{22}(x)| & 2|u_{11}(x)| - aw_{11}(x) - aw_{22}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, x) \;<\; & -2|u_{11}(x)|^2 + 3|u_{11}(x)|w_{11}(x)a - |u_{11}(x)||w_{22}(x)|a \\ & -w_{11}^2(x)a^2 + w_{11}(x)|w_{22}(x)|a^2 - |w_{22}(x)|^2a^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (53) this is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & -2|u_{11}(x)|^2 + 2|u_{11}(x)||w_{11}(x)|a - w_{11}^2(x)a^2 + w_{11}(x)|w_{22}(x)|a^2 - |w_{22}(x)|^2a^2 \\ = \; & -\left(\sqrt{2}|u_{11}(x)| - \frac{w_{11}(x)a}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{w_{11}(x)a}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{|w_{22}(x)|a}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{|w_{22}(x)|a}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \\ < \; & 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. $x \in A_4'$.

Recall that $\overline{x}_3 = x_3 + \sqrt{3/2}$ and put $\overline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \overline{x}_3)$. Recall also that $w(x) = K(\overline{x})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11}(\overline{x}) &= C_K \overline{x}_3 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{-7/2} (12x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 - 3\overline{x}_3^2), \\ K_{13}(\overline{x}) &= C_K x_1 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{-7/2} (12\overline{x}_3^2 - 3x_1^2 - 3x_2^2), \\ K_{33}(\overline{x}) &= C_K \overline{x}_3 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{-7/2} (6\overline{x}_3^2 - 9x_1^2 - 9x_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

For any $M \geq 10$ put

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(M) &= \{(x_1, 0, x_3) : \overline{x}_3 = M, x_1 \leq 0, \overline{x}_3 \geq 3|x_1|\}, \\ T_2(M) &= \{(x_1, 0, x_3) : \overline{x}_3 = M, x_1 \leq 0, \sqrt{3/2}|x_1| \leq \overline{x}_3 < 3|x_1|\}, \\ T_3(M) &= \{(x_1, 0, x_3) : \overline{x}_3 = M, x_1 \leq 0, |x_1| \leq \overline{x}_3 < \sqrt{3/2}|x_1|\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(x_1, 0, x_3) : x_1 = -M, 0 < \overline{x}_3 < M\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will consider 3 subcases: $x \in T_1(M)$, $x \in T_2(M)$, $x \in T_3(M)$.

Subcase 4a. $x \in T_1(M)$.

Put $B = B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{11}(x) &= \int_B (K_{11}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) - K_{11}(\overline{x}))\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2 \\ &\quad + K_{11}(\overline{x}) \int_B \varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$K_{11}(\overline{x}) = \frac{C_K \overline{x}_3 (12x_1^2 - 3\overline{x}_3^2)}{(x_1^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{7/2}} < \frac{C_K \overline{x}_3^3 \left(\frac{12}{9} - 3\right)}{(x_1^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{7/2}} < \frac{-c}{\overline{x}_3^4}. \tag{55}$$

For $(y_1, y_2) \in B$ we also have

$$|K_{11}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) - K_{11}(\overline{x})| \leq (|y_1| + |y_2| + |x_3 - \overline{x}_3|)|\nabla K_{11}(\xi)| \leq 4|\nabla K_{11}(\xi)|,$$

where ξ is a point between $(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)$ and $\overline{x} = (x_1, 0, \overline{x}_3)$. For such ξ we have

$$|\nabla K_{11}(\xi)| \leq \frac{c}{x_3^5}. \tag{56}$$

By (55), (56) for sufficiently large M and all $x \in T_1(M)$ we have $u_{11}(x) < 0$. We also have $aw_{11}(x) = aK_{11}(\overline{x}) < 0$ for $a \geq 0$, $x \in T_1(M)$. Hence $u_{11}(x) + aw_{11}(x) < 0$ which implies $f(a, x) < 0$. It follows that for sufficiently large $M_1 \geq 10$ and for all $M \geq M_1$, $a \geq 0$, $x \in T_1(M)$ we have $f(a, x) < 0$.

Subcase 4b. $x \in T_2(M)$.

First we need the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $f(y_1, y_3) = -6y_1^3 - 3y_1^2 y_3 + 24y_1 y_3^2 - 3y_3^3$. For any $y_3 > 0$ and $y_1 \in [y_3/3, y_3]$ we have $f(y_1, y_3) > 4y_3^3$.*

Proof. The proof is elementary. Fix $y_3 > 0$ and put $g(y_1) = f(y_1, y_3)$. We have $g'(y_1) = -18y_1^2 - 6y_1 y_3 + 24y_3^2$, $g'(y_1) = 0$ for $y_1 = (-8/6)y_3$ and $y_1 = y_3$ so g is increasing for $y_1 \in [(-8/6)y_3, y_3]$. We also have $g(y_3/3) = (40/9)y_3^3$ so for any $y_1 \in [y_3/3, y_3]$ we have $g(y_1) > 4y_3^3$. □

Put $b = \int_B \varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2$. For $x \in T_2(M)$ we have

$$f(a, x) = \begin{vmatrix} K_{11}(\overline{x})(a + b) + \varepsilon_{11}(x) & K_{13}(\overline{x})(a + b) + \varepsilon_{13}(x) \\ K_{13}(\overline{x})(a + b) + \varepsilon_{13}(x) & K_{33}(\overline{x})(a + b) + \varepsilon_{33}(x) \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(x) = \int_B (K_{ij}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) - K_{ij}(\overline{x}))\varphi(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2$$

for $(i,j) = (1,1)$ or $(1,3)$ or $(3,3)$. For $(y_1, y_2) \in B$ we have

$$|K_{ij}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) - K_{ij}(\overline{x})| \le (|y_1| + |y_2| + |x_3 - \overline{x}_3|)|\nabla K_{ij}(\xi)| \le 4|\nabla K_{ij}(\xi)|,$$

where ξ is a point between $(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3)$ and $\overline{x} = (x_1, 0, \overline{x}_3)$. We have $|\nabla K_{ij}(\xi)| \le c x_3^{-5}$, so

$$|\varepsilon_{ij}(x)| \le \frac{cb}{x_3^5}. \tag{57}$$

Put

$$f_1(a,x) = \begin{vmatrix} K_{11}(\overline{x})(a+b) & K_{13}(\overline{x})(a+b) \\ K_{13}(\overline{x})(a+b) & K_{33}(\overline{x})(a+b) \end{vmatrix}.$$

We have $|K_{ij}(\overline{x})| \le c x_3^{-4}$ so by (57) we obtain

$$|f(a,x) - f_1(a,x)| \le c(a+b)b x_3^{-9}. \tag{58}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(a,x)| &\ge (a+b)^2 \left(K_{13}^2(\overline{x}) - K_{11}(\overline{x})K_{33}(\overline{x})\right) \\ &\ge (a+b)^2 \left(K_{13}^2(\overline{x}) - \left(\frac{K_{11}(\overline{x}) + K_{33}(\overline{x})}{2}\right)^2\right) \\ &= (a+b)^2 \left(|K_{13}(\overline{x})|^2 - \left(\frac{|K_{22}(\overline{x})|}{2}\right)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

We have

$$|K_{13}(\overline{x})| - \frac{|K_{22}(\overline{x})|}{2} = \frac{1}{2} C_K (|x_1|^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{-7/2}(-6|x_1|^3 - 3|x_1|^2\overline{x}_3 + 24|x_1|\overline{x}_3^2 - 3\overline{x}_3^3).$$

By Lemma 5.3 we obtain

$$|K_{13}(\overline{x})| - \frac{|K_{22}(\overline{x})|}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} C_K (|x_1|^2 + \overline{x}_3^2)^{-7/2} 4\overline{x}_3^3 \ge c x_3^{-4}.$$

Using this and (59) we obtain

$$|f_1(a,x)| \ge (a+b)^2 \left(|K_{13}(\overline{x})| - \frac{|K_{22}(\overline{x})|}{2}\right)^2 \ge c(a+b)^2 x_3^{-8}.$$

It follows that $f_1(a,x) < -c(a+b)^2 x_3^{-8}$. Using this and (58) we obtain that for sufficiently large $M_1 \ge 10$ and for all $M \ge M_1$, $a \ge 0$, $x \in T_2(M)$ we have $f(a,x) < 0$.

Subcase 4c. $x \in T_3(M)$.

This subcase follows from the same arguments as in subcase 1d. □

proof of Proposition 5.1. On the contrary assume that there exists $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (B^c(0,1) \times \{0\})$ such that $H(u)(z) \le 0$. By Lemma 2.5 we may assume that $z_1 \ge 0$. By an explicit formula for φ and Lemma 4.2 we may assume that $z_1 > 0$. Define

$$\Psi^{(b)}(x) = (1-b)u(x) + bw(x), \qquad b \in [0,1],$$

where w is given by (43). By direct computation for any $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $x_3 > -\sqrt{3/2}$ we have

$$H(w)(x) = C_K^3 \frac{27(x_3 + \sqrt{3/2})(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2(x_3 + \sqrt{3/2})^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_3 + \sqrt{3/2})^2)^{15/2}} > 0.$$

Recall that $\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > 0\}$ and put $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3_+ \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_4)$, where A_1, A_2, A_4 are sets from Lemma 5.2. By this lemma we obtain that $z \in \Omega$ and $H(\Psi^{(b)})(x) > 0$ for all $b \in [0,1]$ and $x \in \partial\Omega$. Note that $\Psi^{(0)} = u$ and $\Psi^{(1)} = w$, $H(\Psi^{(0)})(z) < 0$,

$H(\Psi^{(1)})(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Clearly, all second partial derivatives of $\Psi^{(b)}$ are uniformly Lipschitz continuos on $\overline{\Omega}$ that is

$$\exists c\ \forall b \in [0,1]\ \forall x, y \in \overline{\Omega}\ \forall i,j \in \{1,2,3\} \quad \left|\Psi^{(b)}_{ij}(x) - \Psi^{(b)}_{ij}(x)\right| \leq c|x-y|.$$

It follows that there exists $b_0 \in [0,1)$ such that $H(\Psi^{(b_0)})(z_0) = 0$ for some $z_0 \in \Omega$ and $H(\Psi^{(b_0)})(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. This gives contradiction with Theorem 1.6. □

6. Concavity of φ

In this section we prove the main result of this paper Theorem 1.1. This is done by using the method of continuity, H. Lewy's Theorem 1.6 and results from Sections 3, 4, 5.

For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we define

$$v^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = u(x) + \varepsilon\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{2} - \frac{x_2^2}{2} + x_3^2\right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\}), \tag{60}$$

where u is the harmonic extension of φ given by (6-10) and φ is the solution of (1-2) for an open bounded set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. When D is not fixed we will sometimes write $v^{(\varepsilon,D)}$ instead of $v^{(\varepsilon)}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$, $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$, φ be the solution of (1-2) for D and u the harmonic extension of φ given by (6-10). For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ let $v^{(\varepsilon)}$ be given by (60). For any $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x_1, x_2, -x_3) = H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.*

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5 and it is omitted.

Proposition 6.2. *Fix $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ and $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. Denote $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1\}$. Let φ be the solution of (1-2) for D, u the harmonic extension of φ and $v^{(\varepsilon)}$ given by (60). For $M \geq 10$, $h \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1/2]$ we define*

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1(M) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 = M \text{ or } x_3 = -M\} \\
&\quad \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 = M^2, x_3 \in [-M, M] \setminus \{0\}\}, \\
U_2(h) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, x_2) \in D, \delta_D((x_1, x_2)) \leq h, x_3 \in [-h, h]\} \\
&\quad \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, x_2) \notin D, \delta_D((x_1, x_2)) \leq h, x_3 \in [-h, h] \setminus \{0\}\}, \\
U_3(M, h, \eta) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, x_2) \notin D, \delta_D((x_1, x_2)) \geq h, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, \\
&\qquad\qquad x_3 \in [-\eta, \eta] \setminus \{0\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exists c_1 = c_1(\Lambda) \in (0,1]\ \exists M_0 \geq 10\ \exists h_1 = h_1(\Lambda) \in (0, 1/2]\ \forall M \geq M_0\ \forall \varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}] \\
&\exists \eta = \eta(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1/2]\ \exists C = C(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon) > 0\ \forall x \in U_1(M) \cup U_2(h_1) \cup U_3(M, h_1, \eta) \\
&\qquad H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) \geq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the whole proof we use convention stated in Remark 2.7. We have $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) = W_1(x) + W_2(x) + W_3(x)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1(x) &= v^{(\varepsilon)}_{12}(x)\left(v^{(\varepsilon)}_{13}(x)v^{(\varepsilon)}_{23}(x) - v^{(\varepsilon)}_{12}(x)v^{(\varepsilon)}_{33}(x)\right), \\
W_2(x) &= -v^{(\varepsilon)}_{23}(x)\left(v^{(\varepsilon)}_{11}(x)v^{(\varepsilon)}_{23}(x) - v^{(\varepsilon)}_{13}(x)v^{(\varepsilon)}_{12}(x)\right), \\
W_3(x) &= v^{(\varepsilon)}_{22}(x) f(\varepsilon, x), \\
f(\varepsilon, x) &= v^{(\varepsilon)}_{11}(x)v^{(\varepsilon)}_{33}(x) - (v^{(\varepsilon)}_{13}(x))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof consists of 3 parts.

Part 1. Estimates on $U_1(M)$.

We may assume in this part that $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 > 0$, $x_1 \le 0$.

By formulas $u_{ij}(x) = \int_D K_{ij}(x_1-y_1, x_2-y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1,y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2$ and explicit formulas for K_{ij} (see Section 2), there exist $M_1 \ge 10$ and c such that for any $M \ge M_1$ and $x \in U_1(M)$ we have $|u_{11}(x)| \le cx_3M^{-5}$, $u_{22}(x) \approx -x_3M^{-5}$, $|u_{33}(x)| \le cx_3M^{-5}$, $|u_{13}(x)| \le cM^{-4}$, $|u_{23}(x)| \le cM^{-5}$, $|u_{12}(x)| \le cx_3M^{-6}$.

Let us fix arbitrary $M \ge M_1$.

Let $x \in U_1(M)$ (recall that we assume that $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 > 0$, $x_1 \le 0$). We have

$$|W_1(x)| \le cx_3M^{-6}(M^{-4}M^{-5} + x_3M^{-6}(x_3M^{-5} + 2\varepsilon)) \le cx_3M^{-15} + c\varepsilon M^{-10}, \quad (61)$$

$$|W_2(x)| \le cM^{-5}((x_3M^{-5} + \varepsilon)M^{-5} + M^{-4}x_3M^{-6}) \le cx_3M^{-15} + c\varepsilon M^{-10}. \quad (62)$$

Now we estimate $W_3(x)$. We have

$$v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = u_{22}(x) - \varepsilon \approx -cx_3M^{-5} - \varepsilon. \quad (63)$$

The most important is the estimate of $f(\varepsilon, x)$. To obtain this estimate we will consider 6 cases.

Case 1.1. $x_3 = M$, $|x_1| < x_3/3$.

Put $m(x) = C_K(x_1^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}$. We have

$$u_{11}(x) \approx K_{11}(x) = m(x)x_3(12x_1^2 - 3x_3^2) < cM^{-7}x_3\left(12\left(\frac{x_3}{3}\right)^2 - 3x_3^2\right),$$

so $u_{11}(x) \le -cM^{-4}$. We also have

$$u_{33}(x) \approx K_{33}(x) = m(x)x_3(6x_3^2 - 9x_1^2) \ge cM^{-7}x_3\left(6x_3^2 - 9\left(\frac{x_3}{3}\right)^2\right),$$

so $u_{33}(x) \ge cM^{-4}$. Therefore for any $\varepsilon \ge 0$ we have $v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \le -cM^{-4}$, $v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \ge cM^{-4}$. Hence $f(\varepsilon, x) \le -cM^{-8}$.

Case 1.2. $x_3 = M$, $|x_1| \in [x_3/3, x_3/\sqrt{3/2}]$.

By the arguments from Subcase 4b in the proof of Lemma 5.2 we have $u_{11}(x)u_{33}(x) - (u_{13}(x))^2 < -cM^{-8}$ for sufficiently large M. For any $\varepsilon \ge 0$ we have

$$\left|f(\varepsilon, x) - \left(u_{11}(x)u_{33}(x) - (u_{13}(x))^2\right)\right| \le 2\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon|u_{11}(x)| + \varepsilon|u_{33}(x)|.$$

For any $c_1 \in (0,1]$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ this is bounded from above by cc_1M^{-11}. It follows that for sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$, for sufficiently large M and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $f(\varepsilon, x) < -cM^{-8}$.

Case 1.3. $x_3 = M$, $|x_1| \in [x_3/\sqrt{3/2}, x_3]$.

We have

$$u_{11}(x) \approx K_{11}(x) = m(x)x_3(12x_1^2 - 3x_3^2) \approx M^{-7}x_3\left(12\frac{x_3^2}{3/2} - 3x_3^2\right) \approx M^{-4}.$$

For $y \in D \subset B(0,1)$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{33}(x_1 - y_1, -y_2, x_3) \le C_Kx_3((x_1-y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}(6x_3^2 - 9(x_1-y_1)^2) \\ = \;& C_Kx_3((x_1-y_1)^2 + y_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-7/2}(6x_3^2 - 9x_1^2 + 18x_1y_1 - 9y_1^2) \le cM^{-5}, \end{aligned}$$

so $u_{33}(x) \le cM^{-5}$. For sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we obtain $v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \approx M^{-4}$, $v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \le cM^{-5}$. We also have $u_{13}(x) \approx K_{13}(x) = m(x)x_1(12x_3^2 - 3x_1^2) \ge cM^{-4}$. It follows that for sufficiently small c_1, for sufficiently large M and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $f(\varepsilon, x) < -cM^{-8}$.

Case 1.4. $x_3 \in [M/4, M]$, $x_1 = -M$.

We have

$$u_{11}(x) \approx K_{11}(x) = m(x)x_3(12x_1^2 - 3x_3^2),$$

so $u_{11}(x) \geq cM^{-4}$. We also have

$$u_{33}(x) \approx K_{33}(x) = m(x)x_3(6x_3^2 - 9x_1^2),$$

so $u_{33}(x) \leq -cM^{-4}$. Therefore for sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \geq cM^{-4}$, $v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \leq -cM^{-4}$. Hence $f(\varepsilon, x) \leq -cM^{-8}$.

Case 1.5. $x_3 \in [1, M/4]$, $x_1 = -M$.

We have

$$u_{13}(x) \approx K_{13}(x) = m(x)x_1(12x_3^2 - 3x_1^2),$$

so $u_{13}(x) \leq -cM^{-4}$. We also have

$$u_{11}(x) \approx K_{11}(x) = m(x)x_3(12x_1^2 - 3x_3^2),$$

$$u_{33}(x) \approx K_{33}(x) = m(x)x_3(6x_3^2 - 9x_1^2),$$

so $u_{11}(x) \geq cM^{-5}$, $u_{33}(x) \leq -cM^{-5}$. Therefore for sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \geq cM^{-5}$, $v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \leq -cM^{-5}$. Hence $f(\varepsilon, x) \leq -cM^{-8}$.

Case 1.6. $x_3 \in (0,1]$, $x_1 = -M$.

By similar arguments as in Case 1.5 we get $u_{13}(x) \leq -cM^{-4}$, $|u_{11}(x)| \leq cM^{-5}$, $|u_{33}(x)| \leq cM^{-5}$. Therefore for sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $|v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)| \leq cM^{-5}$, $|v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)| \leq cM^{-5}$. Hence for sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$, for sufficiently large M and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $f(\varepsilon, x) \leq -cM^{-8}$.

Finally in all 6 cases we get that for sufficiently small $c_1 \in (0,1]$, for sufficiently large M and all $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1M^{-7}]$ we have $f(\varepsilon, x) \leq -cM^{-8}$. By (63) we get $W_3(x) = v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)f(\varepsilon, x) \geq cx_3M^{-13} + c\varepsilon M^{-8}$. By (61), (62) we have $|W_1(x) + W_2(x)| \leq cx_3M^{-15} + c\varepsilon M^{-10}$. Recall that $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) = W_1(x) + W_2(x) + W_3(x)$. It follows that there exists sufficiently small $c_1' = c_1'(\Lambda) \in (0,1]$ and sufficiently large $M_0 \geq M_1 \geq 10$ such that for any $M \geq M_0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1'M^{-7}]$ and all $x \in U_1(M)$ we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) \geq c\varepsilon M^{-8}$.

Let us fix the above M_0 and $M \geq M_0$ in the rest of the proof of this proposition.

Part 2. Estimates on $U_2(h)$.

We will use notation and results from Section 4. In particular we choose a point on ∂D and choose a Cartesian coordinate system with origin at that point in the same way as in Section 4 (see Figures 1, 2, 3). Let $h \in (0, h_0]$, where h_0 is from Proposition 4.1. By Lemma 6.1 we may assume $x_3 \geq 0$, by continuity we may assume $x_3 > 0$. It follows that it is enough to estimate $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x)$ for $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h) \cup S_4(h)$. We will consider 2 cases. Assume that $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$.

Case 2.1. $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$.

If $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_3(h)$ we have $(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x))^2 = u_{13}^2(x) \geq ch^{-3}$, $v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = u_{11}(x)u_{33}(x) + 2\varepsilon u_{11}(x) - \varepsilon u_{33}(x) - 2\varepsilon^2$, $|2\varepsilon u_{11}(x)| \leq c\varepsilon h^{-3/2}$, $|-\varepsilon u_{33}(x)| \leq c\varepsilon h^{-3/2}$.

If $u_{11}(x) \leq 0$ or $u_{33}(x) \leq 0$ then $u_{11}(x)u_{33}(x) \leq 0$ (recall that $u_{11}(x) + u_{33}(x) = -u_{22}(x) > 0$). If $u_{11}(x) > 0$ and $u_{33}(x) > 0$ then

$$u_{11}(x)u_{33}(x) \leq \left(\frac{u_{11}(x) + u_{33}(x)}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{u_{22}(x)}{2}\right)^2 \leq ch^{-1}.$$

Hence $f(\varepsilon, x) = -(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x))^2 + v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \leq -ch^{-3}$ for sufficiently small h and all $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$.

If $x \in S_2(h)$ we have $u_{11}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$, $u_{33}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$. Hence for sufficiently small h and all $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we have $v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \approx h^{-3/2}$, $v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \approx -h^{-3/2}$ and $f(\varepsilon, x) \leq -ch^{-3}$.

Hence for any $x \in S_1(h) \cup S_2(h) \cup S_3(h)$ for sufficiently small h and all $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we have $f(\varepsilon, x) \leq -ch^{-3}$. We have $v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \approx -x_3h^{-3/2} - \varepsilon$. It follows that $W_3(x) =$

$v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)f(\varepsilon,x) \geq cx_3h^{-9/2} + c\varepsilon h^{-3}$. By Proposition 4.1 we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |W_1(x)| &\leq cx_3h^{-3/2}|\log h|\left(h^{-3/2}h^{-1/2}|\log h| + (2\varepsilon + x_3h^{-5/2})x_3h^{-3/2}|\log h|\right) \\ &\leq cx_3h^{-7/2}|\log h|^2 + c\varepsilon h^{-1}|\log h|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |W_2(x)| &\leq ch^{-1/2}|\log h|\left((\varepsilon + x_3h^{-5/2})h^{-1/2}|\log h| + h^{-3/2}x_3h^{-3/2}|\log h|\right) \\ &\leq cx_3h^{-7/2}|\log h|^2 + c\varepsilon h^{-1}|\log h|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists sufficiently small h_1' such that for all $h \in (0, h_1']$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) \geq cx_3h^{-9/2} + c\varepsilon h^{-3}$.

Case 2.2. $x \in S_4(h)$.

By Proposition 4.1 for sufficiently small h and all $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we have $W_3(x) \geq ch^{-1/2}h^{-3} = ch^{-14/4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |W_1(x)| &\leq ch^{-1/2}|\log h|\left(h^{-3/2}h^{-3/4}|\log h| + h^{-3/2}h^{-1/2}|\log h|\right) \\ &\leq ch^{-11/4}|\log h|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |W_2(x)| &\leq ch^{-3/4}|\log h|\left(h^{-3/2}h^{-3/4}|\log h| + h^{-1/2}|\log h|h^{-3/2}\right) \\ &\leq ch^{-12/4}|\log h|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists sufficiently small h_1'' such that for all $h \in (0, h_1'']$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) \geq ch^{-14/4}$.

Let us fix $h_1 = h_1' \wedge h_1''$ in the rest of the proof of this proposition.

Part 3. Estimates on $U_3(M, h_1, \eta)$.

Let us choose arbitrary point on ∂D and choose a Cartesian coordinate system in the same way as in Part 2. Note that it is enough to estimate $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x)$ for $x \in U_3'(M, h_1, \eta) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_2 = 0, x_1 \in [-M, -h_1], x_3 \in (0, \eta]\}$ and sufficiently small $\eta = \eta(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon)$.

Let $x \in U_3'(M, h_1, 1/2)$. Note that $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D) \geq h_1$. By formulas $u_{ij}(x) = \int_D K_{ij}(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3)\varphi(y_1, y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2$ and explicit formulas for K_{ij} (see Section 2) we have $|u_{11}(x)| \leq cx_3h_1^{-5}$, $|u_{22}(x)| \leq cx_3h_1^{-5}$, $|u_{33}(x)| \leq cx_3h_1^{-5}$, $|u_{13}(x)| \leq ch_1^{-4}$, $|u_{23}(x)| \leq ch_1^{-4}$, $|u_{12}(x)| \leq cx_3h_1^{-5}$. Note also that by our choice of coordinate system for any $y = (y_1, y_2) \in D$ we have $y_1 > 0$. From now on let us assume additionally that $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in U_3'(M, h_1, 1/2)$ is such that $x_3 \leq |x_1|/\sqrt{6}$ (this condition implies $12x_3^2 \leq 2x_1^2$). For such $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and any $y = (y_1, y_2) \in D$ we have $12x_3^2 - 3(x_1 - y_1)^2 - 3(x_2 - y_2)^2 \leq -(x_1 - y_1)^2 \leq -x_1^2 \leq -h_1^2$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{13}(x)| &= \left|C_K \int_D \frac{(x_1 - y_1)(12x_3^2 - 3(x_1 - y_1)^2 - 3(x_2 - y_2)^2)}{((x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + x_3^2)^{7/2}}\,\varphi(y_1, y_2)\,dy_1\,dy_2\right| \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{C}h_1^3}{M^7}. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

The constant $\tilde{C}$ will play an important role in the rest of the proof and this is the reason why it is not as usual denoted by c. Clearly, $\tilde{C}$ depends only on Λ.

Let us recall that in Parts 1 and 2 of this proof we have fixed constants M_0, $M \geq M_0$, h_1. At the end of Part 1 we have chosen a constant $c_1' \in (0,1]$. Let us choose a constant c_1 to be

$$c_1 = c_1' \wedge \frac{1}{4}\tilde{C}h_1^3, \tag{65}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is a constant from (64). In the rest of the proof let us fix this constant c_1 and $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}]$. The reason to define c_1 by (65) is so that $2\varepsilon^2 \leq 2c_1^2 M^{-14} \leq \frac{1}{8}\tilde{C}^2 h_1^6 M^{-14}$ which implies

$$2\varepsilon^3 \leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\tilde{C}^2 h_1^6 M^{-14}, \tag{66}$$

which will be crucial in the sequel.

Note that for sufficiently small $\eta = \eta(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon)$ and $x \in U_3'(M, h_1, \eta)$ we have $x_3 \leq |x_1|/\sqrt{6}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) &= -\varepsilon + u_{22}(x) \leq -\varepsilon + c x_3 h_1^{-5} \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) &= -\varepsilon + u_{11}(x) \leq -\varepsilon + c x_3 h_1^{-5} \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) = v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) + 2v_{12}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{23}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \\ -v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2 - v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{23}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2 - v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{12}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2, \\ - v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{\tilde{C}^2 h_1^6}{M^{14}}, \\ -v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{23}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

$$\left| v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{12}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2 \right| \leq (c x_3 h_1^{-5})^2 (2\varepsilon + c x_3 h_1^{-5}), \tag{68}$$

$$|v_{12}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{23}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)| \leq c x_3 h_1^{-5} h_1^{-4} h_1^{-4}, \tag{69}$$

$$|v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)| \leq (\varepsilon + c x_3 h_1^{-5})^2 (2\varepsilon + c x_3 h_1^{-5}). \tag{70}$$

Note that the right hand sides of (68), (69), (70) are bounded by $2\varepsilon^3 + x_3 C(\Lambda, h_1)$ (note that h_1 depends only on Λ so $C(\Lambda, h_1) = C(\Lambda)$). By (66) and (67) we have $2\varepsilon^3 \leq -\frac{1}{4}v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2$. We also have $x_3 C(\Lambda, h_1) < -\frac{1}{4}v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2$ for sufficiently small $\eta = \eta(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon)$ and $x \in U_3'(M, h_1, \eta)$. For such η and x we have

$$H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) \geq -\frac{1}{2}v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\left(v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)\right)^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\frac{\tilde{C}^2 h_1^6}{M^{14}}.$$

□

Lemma 6.3. *Let φ be the solution of (1-2) for $B(0,1)$, u the harmonic extension of φ and $v^{(\varepsilon)}$ given by (60). For $M \geq 10$, $h \in (0, 1/2]$, $\eta \in (0, 1/2]$ we define*

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(M) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 = M \text{ or } x_3 = -M\} \\ &\quad \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 = M^2, x_3 \in [-M, M] \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ U_2(h) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \in [(1-h)^2, 1), x_3 \in [-h, h]\} \\ &\quad \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \in [1, (1+h)^2], x_3 \in [-h, h] \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ U_3(M, h, \eta) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \in [(1+h)^2, M^2], x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 \in [-\eta, \eta] \setminus \{0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists c_1 \in (0,1]\ \exists M_0 \geq 10\ \exists h_1 \in (0, 1/2]\ \forall M \geq M_0\ \exists \eta = \eta(M) \in (0, 1/2] \\ &\forall \varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}]\ \forall x \in U_1(M) \cup U_2(h_1) \cup U_3(M, h_1, \eta) \\ &\qquad H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.4. It is important here that η does not depend on ε.

Proof. Existence of c_1, M_0, h_1 and the estimate $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) > 0$ for $x \in U_1(M) \cup U_2(h_1)$ (where $M \geq M_0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}]$) follow from the arguments from the proof of Proposition 6.2.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$. Fix $M \geq M_0$ and let $x \in U_3(M, h_1, 1/2)$. We may assume that $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 > 0$, $x_1 < 0$. We have $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) = v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) f(\varepsilon, x)$, where $f(\varepsilon, x) = v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) - (v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x))^2$. We have $u_{22}(x) < 0$ so $v_{22}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = u_{22}(x) - \varepsilon < 0$. We also have $|u_{11}(x)| \leq c x_3 h_1^{-5}$, $|u_{33}(x)| \leq c x_3 h_1^{-5}$ which gives

$$v_{11}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) v_{33}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = (u_{11}(x) - \varepsilon)(u_{33}(x) + 2\varepsilon) < c x_3 h_1^{-10} + c x_3 h_1^{-5}.$$

Let us additionally assume that x_3 is sufficiently small so that $x_3 \leq \frac{|x_1| - 1}{\sqrt{6}}$. For such x by the arguments from the proof of Proposition 6.2 we have $|u_{13}(x)| \geq c h_1^3 M^{-7}$ so $|v_{13}^{(\varepsilon)}(x)|^2 = |u_{13}(x)|^2 \geq c h_1^6 M^{-14}$. Hence for sufficiently small $\eta = \eta(M)$ and $x \in U_3(M, h_1, \eta)$ we have $f(\varepsilon, x) < 0$, which implies $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) > 0$. □

Proposition 6.5. *Let φ be the solution of (1-2) for $B(0,1)$, u the harmonic extension of φ and $v^{(\varepsilon)}$ given by (60). For $M \geq 10$ put*

$$\Omega_M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 \in [-M, M]\} \setminus \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \in [1, M^2], x_3 = 0\}.$$

Let c_1 and M_0 be the constants from Lemma 6.3. Then we have

$$\forall M \geq M_0 \ \forall \varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}] \ \forall x \in \Omega_M \qquad H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) > 0.$$

Proof. On the contrary assume that there exists $M_1 \geq M_0$, $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, c_1 M_1^{-7}]$, $z \in \Omega_{M_1}$ such that $H(v^{(\varepsilon_1)})(z) \leq 0$. By Lemma 6.3 there exists $h_1 \in (0, 1/2]$ and $\eta_1 = \eta_1(M_1) \in (0, 1/2]$ such that $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M_1^{-7}]$, $\forall x \in U_1(M_1) \cup U_2(h_1) \cup U_3(M_1, h_1, \eta_1)$ $H(v^{(\varepsilon)})(x) > 0$.

Note that by $v^{(0)} = u$ and by Proposition 5.1 we have $H(v^{(0)})(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega_{M_1}$. It follows that there exists $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ and $\tilde{z} \in \Omega_{M_1} \setminus (U_1(M_1) \cup U_2(h_1) \cup U_3(M_1, h_1, \eta_1))$ such that $H(v^{(\varepsilon_2)})(\tilde{z}) = 0$ and $H(v^{(\varepsilon_2)})(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega_{M_1}$. This gives contradiction with Theorem 1.6. □

As a direct conlusion of Propositions 6.2 and 6.5 we obtain

Corollary 6.6. *Fix $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ and $D \in F(C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. Denote $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1\}$. Let $\varphi^{(D)}$ be the solution of (1-2) for D, $u^{(D)}$ the harmonic extension of $\varphi^{(D)}$ given by (6-10) and $v^{(\varepsilon, D)}$ given by (60). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exists c_1 = c_1(\Lambda) \in (0,1] \ \exists M_0 \geq 10 \ \exists h_1 = h_1(\Lambda) \in (0, 1/2] \ \forall M \geq M_0 \ \forall \varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}] \\
&\exists \eta = \eta(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon) \in (0, (1/2) \wedge \varepsilon] \ \exists c_2 = c_2(\Lambda, M, \varepsilon) > 0 \\
&\forall x \in Q(M, D, \varepsilon) \qquad H(v^{(\varepsilon, D)})(x) \geq c_2, \\
&\forall x \in \Omega(M, B(0,1), \varepsilon) \qquad H(v^{(\varepsilon, B(0,1))})(x) \geq c_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q(M, D, \varepsilon) = Q_1(M) \cup Q_2(M, D, \varepsilon) \cup Q_3(M, D, \varepsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(M) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 = M \text{ or } x_3 = -M\} \\
&\quad \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 = M^2, x_3 \in [-M, M] \setminus \{0\}\}, \\
Q_2(M, D, \varepsilon) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, x_2) \in D, \delta_D((x_1, x_2)) \leq h_1, x_3 \in [-\eta, \eta]\}, \\
Q_3(M, D, \varepsilon) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, x_2) \in D^c, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 \in [-\eta, \eta] \setminus \{0\}\}, \\
\Omega'(M, D, \varepsilon) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 \in [-M, M]\} \\
&\qquad \setminus \overline{(Q_2(M, D, \varepsilon) \cup Q_3(M, D, \varepsilon))}, \\
\Omega(M, D, \varepsilon) &= \overline{\Omega'(M, D, \varepsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1.

In this step we will use the notation from Corollary 6.6. We will show that for any $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$, $D \in F(\Lambda)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$ we have $H(u^{(D)})(x) > 0$.

Fix $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ where $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ and fix $D_0 \in F(\Lambda)$. Let $\{D(t)\}_{t\in[0,1]}$, $D(0) = D_0$, $D(1) = B(0,1)$ be the family of domains defined by (13). By Lemma 2.3 there exists $\Lambda' = \{C_1', R_1', \kappa_1', \kappa_2'\}$ where $C_1' > 0$, $R_1' > 0$, $\kappa_2' \geq \kappa_1' > 0$ such that $\forall t \in [0,1]$ $D(t) \in F(\Lambda')$. Note that $H(u^{(D_0)})(x)$ does not vanish identically in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D_0^c \times \{0\})$ because it does not vanish near $\partial D_0 \times \{0\}$.

On the contrary assume that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D_0^c \times \{0\})$ such that $H(u^{(D_0)})(x_0) \leq 0$. If $H(u^{(D_0)})(x_0) = 0$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D_0^c \times \{0\})$ $H(u^{(D_0)})(x) \geq 0$ then we get contradiction with Theorem 1.6. So we may assume that $H(u^{(D_0)})(x_0) < 0$.

By Corollary 6.6 applied to $\Lambda' = \{C_1', R_1', \kappa_1', \kappa_1'\}$ there exist $M \geq M_0 \geq 10$, $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1 M^{-7}]$ such that $x_0 \in \Omega(M, D_0, \varepsilon)$ and $H(v^{(\varepsilon, D_0)})(x_0) < 0$. Let us fix such M and ε. By Corollary 6.6 $\forall t \in [0,1]$ $\forall x \in Q(M, D(t), \varepsilon)$ $H(v^{(\varepsilon,t)})(x) \geq c = c(\Lambda', M, \varepsilon) > 0$, where $v^{(\varepsilon,t)} = v^{(\varepsilon, D(t))}$.

By the construction in Lemma 2.3 there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 = t(0) < t(1) < \ldots < t(n) = 1$ such that $\forall i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ $\forall t \in [t(i), t(i+1)]$

$$d(D(t(i)), D(t)) < h_1/3, \tag{71}$$

where $d(D_1, D_2) = [\sup_{x\in\partial D_1} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D_2)] \wedge [\sup_{x\in\partial D_2} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D_1)]$ and $h_1 = h_1(\Lambda')$ is the constant from Corollary 6.6. Let us note that by our assumption $\inf\{H(v^{(\varepsilon,t(0))})(x) : x \in \Omega(M, D(t(0)), \varepsilon)\} < 0$. By Corollary 6.6 $\inf\{H(v^{(\varepsilon,t(n))})(x) : x \in \Omega(M, D(t(n)), \varepsilon)\} > 0$. Hence there exists $j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $\inf\{H(v^{(\varepsilon,t(j))})(x) : x \in \Omega(M, D(t(j)), \varepsilon)\} < 0$ and $\inf\{H(v^{(\varepsilon,t(j+1))})(x) : x \in \Omega(M, D(t(j+1)), \varepsilon)\} \geq 0$. Let us fix such j.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 \in [-M, M]\} \\
&\quad \setminus \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \operatorname{dist}((x_1, x_2), D^c(t(j))) < 2h_1/3, x_3 \in (-\eta, \eta)\}, \\
P_1 &= \{x \in Q_1(M) : |x_3| \geq \eta\}, \\
P_2 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \operatorname{dist}((x_1, x_2), D^c(t(j))) < 2h_1/3, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq M^2, x_3 = -\eta \text{ or } \eta\}, \\
P_3 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1, x_2) \in D(t(j)), \operatorname{dist}((x_1, x_2), \partial D(t(j))) = 2h_1/3, x_3 \in [-\eta, \eta]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\partial A = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3$. By (71) (applied for $i = j$) for any $t \in [t(j), t(j+1)]$ we have $\Omega(M, D(t), \varepsilon) \subset A$, $P_2 \cup P_3 \subset Q_2(M, D(t), \varepsilon) \cup Q_3(M, D(t), \varepsilon)$ so $\partial A \subset Q(M, D(t), \varepsilon)$. By Corollary 6.6 for any $t \in [t(j), t(j+1)]$ and $x \in \partial A$ we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon,t)})(x) \geq c$, where $c = c(\Lambda', M, \varepsilon)$.

Now we will justify uniform Lipschitz property of $v_{ik}^{(\varepsilon,t)}$. Note that $v^{(\varepsilon,t)}$ are harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c(t) \times \{0\})$. Note also that for any $t \in [t(j), t(j+1)]$ $\operatorname{dist}(D^c(t) \times \{0\}, A) \geq h_1/3 \wedge \eta$. This implies that for $t \in [t(j), t(j+1)]$ all second derivatives $v_{ik}^{(\varepsilon,t)}$ are uniformly Lipschitz continuous on A. That is there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $t \in [t(j), t(j+1)]$, $x, y \in A$, $i, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

$$\left| v_{ik}^{(\varepsilon,t)}(x) - v_{ik}^{(\varepsilon,t)}(y) \right| \leq c|x - y|. \tag{72}$$

Now we will show that if $[t(j), t(j+1)] \ni t \to s$ then for any $x \in A$

$$H(v^{(\varepsilon,t)})(x) \to H(v^{(\varepsilon,s)})(x). \tag{73}$$

Denote $\varphi^{(t)} = \varphi^{(D(t))}$, $u^{(t)} = u^{(D(t))}$. By Lemma 2.4 for any $y \in D(s)$ if $t \to s$ then $\varphi^{(t)}(y) \to \varphi^{(s)}(y)$. If $x \in A$ and $x_3 > 0$ then we have $u_{ik}^{(t)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{ik}(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3)\varphi^{(t)}(y_1, y_2)\, dy_1\, dy_2$ which implies (73). Using this and Lemma 6.1 we get (73) for $x \in A$ with $x_3 < 0$. (73) for $x \in A$ with $x_3 = 0$ follows from (72).

By the fact that $\Omega(M, D(t), \varepsilon) \subset A$ for $t \in [t(j), t(j+1)]$ and our assumptions on j we have $\inf\{H(v^{(\varepsilon,t(j))})(x) : x \in A\} < 0$ and $\inf\{H(v^{(\varepsilon,t(j+1))})(x) : x \in A\} \geq 0$. Put

$$s = \inf\{t \in [t(j), t(j+1)] : \exists x \in A \;\; H(v^{(\varepsilon,t)})(x) < 0\}.$$

There exist a sequence $\{s(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [t(j), t(j+1)]$ and $\{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ such that

$$H(v^{(\varepsilon,s(n))})(x(n)) < 0$$

and $s(n) \to s$. Since A is compact we may assume that $x(n) \to z \in A$. By pointwise convergence and uniform Lipschitz continuity $H(v^{(\varepsilon,s)})(z) = 0$. Since for any $x \in \partial A$ $H(v^{(\varepsilon,s)})(x) > 0$ we have $z \in \mathrm{int}A$. On the other hand, by pointwise convergence, we have $H(v^{(\varepsilon,s)})(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in A$. This gives contradiction with Theorem 1.6.

Step 2.

By sign(Hess$(u(y))$) we denote a signature of the Hessian matrix of $u(y)$. In this step we will show that for arbitrary $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1\}$, $D \in F(\Lambda)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$ we have sign(Hess$(u(y))) = (1, 2)$ and φ is strictly concave on D.

Fix $\Lambda = \{C_1, R_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1\}$ where $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 \geq \kappa_1 > 0$ and fix $D \in F(\Lambda)$. Let φ be the solution of (1-2) for D, u the harmonic extension of φ. Let $(x_1, x_2) \in D$, put $x = (x_1, x_2, 0)$. Denote $f(x) = u_{11}(x)u_{22}(x) - u_{12}^2(x)$. By Lemma 4.2 $u_{13}(x) = u_{23}(x) = 0$, $u_{33}(x) > 0$. By Step 1 $H(u)(x) > 0$. Hence $f(x) > 0$. We have $u_{11}(x) + u_{22}(x) + u_{33}(x) = 0$ so $u_{11}(x) + u_{22}(x) < 0$. This and $f(x) > 0$ implies that $u_{11}(x) < 0$, $u_{22}(x) < 0$. Hence sign(Hess$(u(x))) = (1, 2)$. Since $H(u)(y) > 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (D^c \times \{0\})$ we get sign(Hess$(u(y))) = (1, 2)$.

Inequalities $f(x) > 0$, $u_{11}(x) < 0$, $u_{22}(x) < 0$ give that $\varphi(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1, x_2, 0)$ is strictly concave on D.

Step 3.

In this step we will show that for any open bounded convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ φ is concave on D.

Fix an open bounded convex set $D \subset B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. It is well known (see e.g. [9, page 451]) that there exists a sequence of sets D_n such that $D_n \in F(\Lambda_n)$ for some $\Lambda_n = \{C_{1,n}, R_{1,n}, \kappa_{1,n}, \kappa_{2,n}\}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = D$, $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(D_n, D) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (where $C_{1,n} > 0$, $R_{1,n} > 0$, $\kappa_{2,n} \geq \kappa_{1,n} > 0$). Let $\varphi^{(n)}$, φ denote solutions of (1-2) for D_n and D. By Step 2 $\varphi^{(n)}$ are concave on D_n. By Lemma 2.4 we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi^{(n)}(x) = \varphi(x)$ for $x \in D$. So φ is concave on D.

By scaling we may relax the assumption $D \subset B(0, 1)$. □

7. Extensions and conjectures

proof of Theorem 1.5. a) It is well known that if $\psi_r(x) = \psi(rx)$, for some $r > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\psi_r(x) = r^{\alpha}(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\psi(rx)$ (see e.g. [4, page 9]). Fix $x_0 \in \partial D$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Put $f(x) = \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x_0) - \lambda^{\alpha}\varphi(x)$. We have $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f(x) = 0$ for $x \in D$ and $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in D^c$. Hence $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in D$.

b) Fix $x, y \in D$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Put $z = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$. Let l be the line which contains x and y. Let $x_0 \in \partial D$ be the point on l which is closer to x than to y and $y_0 \in \partial D$ be the point on l which is closer to y than to x. We have

$$z = y\frac{|z - x_0|}{|y - x_0|} + x_0\left(1 - \frac{|z - x_0|}{|y - x_0|}\right).$$

By a) we get

$$\varphi(z) \geq \left(\frac{|z - x_0|}{|y - x_0|}\right)^{\alpha} \varphi(y) \geq \left(\frac{|z - x|}{|y - x|}\right)^{\alpha} \varphi(y) = (1-\lambda)^{\alpha}\varphi(y).$$

We also have

$$z = x\frac{|z-y_0|}{|x-y_0|} + y_0\left(1 - \frac{|z-y_0|}{|x-y_0|}\right).$$

Again by a) we get

$$\varphi(z) \geq \left(\frac{|z-y_0|}{|x-y_0|}\right)^{\alpha}\varphi(x) \geq \left(\frac{|z-y|}{|x-y|}\right)^{\alpha}\varphi(x) = \lambda^{\alpha}\varphi(x).$$

□

Now we present some conjectures concerning solutions of (3-4).

Conjecture 7.1. Let $\alpha = 1$, $d \geq 3$. If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an arbitrary bounded convex set then the solution of (3-4) is concave on D.

It seems that using the generalization of H. Lewy's result obtained by S. Gleason and T. Wolff [20, Theorem 1] one can show this conjecture. Let $\alpha = 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a sufficiently smooth bounded convex set such that ∂D has a strictly positive curvature, φ the solution of (3-4) and u its harmonic extension in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. It seems that using the method of continuity, in the similar way as in this paper, one can show that the Hessian matrix of u has a constant signature $(1, d-1)$. This implies concavity of φ on D. Anyway, Conjecture 7.1 remains an open challenging problem.

Conjecture 7.2. Let $d \geq 2$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an arbitrary bounded convex set and φ be the solution of (3-4).

a) If $\alpha \in (1,2)$ then φ is $1/\alpha$-concave on D.

b) If $\alpha \in (0,1)$ then φ is concave on D.

Remark 7.3. For any $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $\eta \in (0, 1-1/\alpha)$ and $d \geq 2$ there exists a bounded convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (a sufficiently narrow bounded cone) such that the solution of (3-4) is not $1/\alpha + \eta$ concave on D.

Justification of Remarks 1.4 and 7.3. It is clear that it is sufficient to show Remark 7.3. For any $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, $d \geq 2$ let

$$D(\theta) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_d) : \sqrt{x_2^2 + \ldots + x_d^2} < x_1 \tan\theta, |x| < 1\}.$$

Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and φ be the solution of (3-4) for $D(\theta)$.

By [29, Theorem 3.13, Lemma 3.7] for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x) \leq c|x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}, \qquad x \in D(\theta). \tag{74}$$

Theorem 3.13 and Lemma 3.7 in [29] are formulated only for $d \geq 3$ but small modifications of proofs in [29] give these results also for $d = 2$. (74) for any $d \geq 2$ also follows from the recent paper [7].

Fix $d \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $\eta \in (0, 1-1/\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{\alpha^2\eta}{1+\eta\alpha}\right)$. There exists $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $c > 0$ such that the solution φ of (3-4) for $D(\theta)$ satisfies $\varphi(x) \leq c|x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$. Fix $x_0 = (a, 0, \ldots, 0) \in D(\theta)$. If φ is $1/\alpha + \eta$ concave on $D(\theta)$ then for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$ we have

$$\varphi(\lambda x_0) \geq \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\eta\alpha}}\varphi(x_0) = \lambda^{\alpha - \frac{\alpha^2\eta}{1+\eta\alpha}}\varphi(x_0).$$

On the other hand $\varphi(\lambda x_0) \leq c\lambda^{\alpha-\varepsilon}|x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$, so

$$c\lambda^{\alpha-\varepsilon}|x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \geq \lambda^{\alpha - \frac{\alpha^2\eta}{1+\eta\alpha}}\varphi(x_0),$$

which gives

$$\lambda^{\frac{\alpha^2\eta}{1+\eta\alpha} - \varepsilon} \geq \varphi(x_0)c^{-1}|x_0|^{\varepsilon-\alpha}$$

for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$, contradiction. □

We finish this section with an open problem concerning p-concavity of the first eigenfunction for the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition.

Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $d \geq 1$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open set and let us consider the following Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\varphi_n(x) = \lambda_n \varphi_n(x), \qquad x \in D, \tag{75}$$

$$\varphi_n(x) = 0, \qquad x \in D^c. \tag{76}$$

It is well known (see e.g. [13], [27]) that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \ldots$, $\lambda_n \to \infty$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\varphi_n \in L^2(D)$. $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(D)$, all φ_n are continuous and bounded on D, one may assume that $\varphi_1 > 0$ on D.

Open problem. For any $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $d \geq 2$ find $p = p(d,\alpha) \in [-\infty, 1]$ such that for arbitrary open bounded convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the first eigenfunction of (75-76) is p-concave on D. It is not clear whether such $p = p(d,\alpha) \in [-\infty, 1]$ exists.

Any results, even numerical, concerning this problem would be very interesting.

Acknowledgements. I thank R. Bañuelos for posing the problem of p-concavity of $E^x(\tau_D)$ for symmetric α-stable processes. I also thank K.-A. Lee for interesting discussions. I am grateful for the hospitality of the Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, the branch in Wrocław, where a part of this paper was written.

References

[1] R. Bañuelos, R. D. DeBlassie, *On the First Eigenfunction of the Symmetric Stable Process in a Bounded Lipschitz Domain*, arXiv:1310.7869 (2013).

[2] R. Bañuelos, T. Kulczycki, *The Cauchy process and the Steklov problem*, J. Funct. Anal. 211 (2004), 355-423.

[3] R. Bañuelos, T. Kulczycki, P. J. Méndez-Hernández, *On the shape of the ground state eigenfunction for stable processes*, Potential Anal. 24 (2006), 205-221.

[4] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, *Potential analysis of stable processes and its extensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1980, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2009).

[5] K. Bogdan, T. Kulczycki, M. Kwaśnicki, *Estimates and structure of α-harmonic functions*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 140 (2008), 345-381.

[6] K. Bogdan, T. Kulczycki, A. Nowak, *Gradient estimates for harmonic and q-harmonic functions of symmetric stable processes*, Illinois J. Math. 46 (2002), 541-556.

[7] K. Bogdan, B. Siudeja, A. Stos, *Martin kernel for fractional Laplacian in narrow cones*, arXiv:1403.6581 (2014).

[8] Ch. Borell, *Greenian potentials and concavity*, Math. Anal. 272, (1985), 155-160.

[9] L. A. Caffarelli, A. Friedman, *Convexity of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), 431-456.

[10] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), 1245-1260.

[11] Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Heat kernel estimates for the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 12 (2010), 1307-1329.

[12] Z.-Q. Chen, R. Song, *Estimates on Green functions and Poisson kernels for symmetric stable processes*, Math. Ann. 312 (1998), 465-501.

[13] Z.-Q. Chen, R. Song, *Intrinsic ultracontractivity and conditional gauge for symmetric stable processes*, J. Funct. Anal. 150 (1997), 204-239.

[14] R. D. DeBlassie, *The first exit time of a two-dimensional symmetric stable process from a wedge*, Ann. Probab. 18 (1990), 1034-1070.

[15] Yu. V. Egorov, M. A. Shubin, *Linear partial differential equations. Foundations of the classical theory. Partial differential equations I*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 30, Springer, Berlin (1992).

[16] A. El Hajj, H. Ibrahim, R. Monneau, *Dislocation dynamics: from microscopic models to macroscopic crystal plasticity*, Contin. Mech. Thermodyn. 21 (2009), 109-123.

[17] J. Elliot, *Absorbing barrier processes connected with the symmetric stable densities*, Illinois J. Math. vol. 3 (1959), 200-216.

[18] R. K. Getoor, *First passage times for symmetric stable processes in space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 75-90.
[19] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1977).
[20] S. Gleason, T. H. Wolff, *Lewy's harmonic gradient maps in higher dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1925-1968.
[21] M. Kac, H. Pollard, *Partial sums of independent random variables*, Canad. J. Mat. vol. 11 (1950), 375-384.
[22] M. Kaßmann, L. Silvestre, *private communication.*
[23] A. U. Kennington, *Power concavity and boundary value problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 687-704.
[24] A. U. Kennington, *Power concavity of solutions of Dirichlet problems*, Miniconference on nonlinear analysis (Canberra, 1983), 133-136, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., 8, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, (1984).
[25] N. Korevaar, *Capillary surface convexity above convex domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (1983), 73-81.
[26] N. Korevaar, J. L. Lewis, *Convex solutions of certain elliptic equations have constant rank Hessians*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 97 (1987), 19-32.
[27] T. Kulczycki, *Intrinsic ultracontractivity for symmetric stable processes*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 46 (1998), 325-334.
[28] T. Kulczycki *Properties of Green function of symmetric stable processes*, Probab. Math. Statist. (1997), 339-364.
[29] T. Kulczycki, *Exit time and Green function of cone for symmetric stable processes*, Probab. Math. Statist. 19 (1999), 337-374.
[30] T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, *Gradient estimates of harmonic functions and transition densities for Levy processes*, arXiv:1307.7158, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
[31] H. Lewy, *On the non-vanishing of the jacobian of a homeomorphism by harmonic gradients*, Ann. of Math. (2) 88, (1968), 518-529.
[32] L. G. Makar-Limanov, *Solution of Dirichlets problem for the equation* $\Delta u = -1$ *in a convex region*, Math. Notes Acad. Sci. USSR 9 (1971), 52-53.
[33] P. J. Méndez-Hernández, *Exit times from cones in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *of symmetric stable processes*, Illinois J. Math. 46 (2002), 155-163.
[34] S. A. Molchanov, E. Ostrovskii, *Symmetric stable processes as traces of degenerate diffusion processes*, Theory Probab. Appl. 14 (1969), 128-131.
[35] F. Spitzer, *Some theorems concerning 2-dimensional Brownian motion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958), 187-197.
[36] G. Żurek, *Concavity of* α*-harmonic functions* (in Polish), Master Thesis, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wrocław University of Technology (2014).

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wrocław University of Technology, Wyb. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland.
E-mail address: Tadeusz.Kulczycki@pwr.edu.pl